CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED RAIL AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

January 18, 2024 9:30 a.m.

Main Location

Department of Food and Agriculture
Auditorium

1220 N. Street,
Sacramento, CA 95814

Public Comment by Zoom: https://hsr-ca-gov.zoom.us/j/82556394605

Webcast available at www.hsr.ca.gov.

The California High-Speed Rail Authority's January 18, 2024, board meeting will be conducted in-person and via webinar. Board Members will participate in the meeting from The Department of Food and Agriculture, 1220 N Street, Sacramento, CA, and individual remote locations. Members of the public can view the board meeting either in person or online at https://hsr.ca.gov/.

Reported by: M. Nelson

APPEARANCES

BOARD MEMBERS

Tom Richards, Chair

Nancy Miller, Vice Chair

Lynn Schenk

Ernest Camacho

Henry Perea

James Ghielmetti

Anthony Williams

Emily Cohen

EX OFFICIO BOARD MEMBERS

Assembly Member, Dr. Joaquin Arambula (Absent.)

Senator Lena A. Gonzalez (Absent.)

STAFF

Brian Kelly, Chief Executive Officer

Alicia Fowler, Chief Counsel

Brian Annis, Chief Financial Officer

Christine Inouye, Chief Engineer of Strategic Delivery

Derek Boughton, Reporting Branch Chief

Dennis Kim, Director of Real Property

Daniel Horgan, Deputy Chief Operating Officer

Britton Snipes, Board Secretary

APPEARANCES (Cont.)

PRESENTERS:

Brian Annis, Chief Financial Officer

Christine Inouye, Chief Engineer of Strategic Delivery

Derek Boughton, Reporting Branch Chief

Brian Kelly, Chief Executive Officer

Committee Vice Chair Miller, F&A Committee A/Chair

PUBLIC COMMENT: (*for Zoom / Online Participants)

INDEX PAGE 5 Roll Call 7 Public Comment 7 1. Consider Approving the December 6, 2023, Board Meeting Minutes 2. Consider Approving the Updated Total Expenditure Authorization Request 3. Consider Approving the Issuance of the Second 30 Notice to Proceed (NTP2) for the Merced to Madera Project Section Economic Impact Analysis from High-Speed Rail 36 4. Investments 46 5. Business Plan Update 67 6. CEO Report • Program Update 7. Finance and Audit Committee Report 75 75 8. Board Member Reports Adjourned 77

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	9:30 a.m.
3	PROCEEDINGS BEGIN AT 9:30 A.M.
4	CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 18, 2024
5	CHAIR RICHARDS: Good morning, ladies and
6	gentlemen. And welcome to the Board meeting for the
7	California High-Speed Rail Authority, January 18th, 2024.
8	We'll first call the meeting to order and ask the
9	Secretary to call the roll.
10	MR. SNIPES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11	Director Schenk.
12	BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Here.
13	MR. SNIPES: Chair Richards.
14	CHAIR RICHARDS: Here.
15	MR. SNIPES: Director Camacho.
16	BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Here.
17	MR. SNIPES: Vice Chair Miller.
18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Here.
19	MR. SNIPES: Assemblymember Arambula. (No
20	audible response.)
21	Director Perea.
22	BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Here.
23	MR. SNIPES: Director Ghielmetti.
24	BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Here.
25	MR. SNIPES: Director Escutia. (No audible

```
1
    response.)
 2
              Director Williams. (No audible response.)
              Senator Gonzalez. (No audible response.)
 3
 4
              Director Cohen.
 5
              BOARD MEMBER COHEN:
                                    Here.
              MR. SNIPES: We have a quorum.
 6
 7
              CHAIR RICHARDS:
                                Thank you.
              And if I could ask our Vice Chair, Vice Chair
 8
9
    Miller, to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.
10
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: Of course, please stand.
               (Whereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
11
12
              CHAIR RICHARDS:
                                Thank you.
13
              And as we do at each meeting, we will start with
14
    public comment. First if the Secretary will advise the
15
    people in the audience and those calling in how they can
16
    address us. And Mr. Secretary, you're on.
17
              MR. SNIPES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18
              Good morning, everybody. Before we begin public
19
    comment for the High-Speed Rail Board of Directors meeting,
20
    I would like to go over some important information.
21
              For members of the public who have joined us in
22
    person and wish to provide comment, you will be called upon
23
    in the order that we have reserved your card. If you're
24
    joining the meeting via Zoom and wish to provide public
25
    comment, please raise your hand using the feature in the
```

bottom left of your screen. Or if you're dialing in by phone pressing the number 2 will raise your hand and put you into our queue. Speakers will be called upon in the order that their hands are raised.

Once you are in the queue, and your name is called, please click the prompt at the bottom of your screen to allow your microphone to be unmuted. If you are joining by phone, we will call on you by the last four digits of your number. At that point, you will hear a message that your phone is being unmuted.

Each speaker will be given two minutes to speak. I will remind you when you have 15 seconds remaining. When it is your turn to speak, please slowly and clearly say your first and last name, and if applicable state the organization that you are representing.

Mr. Chairman, we do not have any in-person speakers at this time. And we do not have any online speakers at this time.

CHAIR RICHARDS: I guess we've lost our mojo.

What has happened? There must be somebody responsible for that.

Well with that then ladies and gentlemen, we will move into today's agenda. Agenda Item Number One is to consider the approval of the December 6th, '23 minutes.

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: So moved.

```
BOARD MEMBER UNKNOWN: (No audible response.)
 1
 2
              CHAIR RICHARDS: A motion and a second. Please
 3
    call the roll.
 4
              MR. SNIPES: Director Schenk.
 5
              BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: (No audible response.)
              MR. SNIPES: Chair Richards.
 6
 7
              CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes.
 8
              MR. SNIPES: Director Camacho.
 9
              BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yes.
10
              MR. SNIPES: Vice Chair Miller.
11
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: Abstain.
12
              MR. SNIPES: Director Perea.
13
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: (No audible response.)
14
              MR. SNIPES: Director Ghielmetti.
15
              BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: (No audible response.)
              MR. SNIPES: Director Escutia. (No audible
16
17
    response.)
18
              Director Williams. (No audible response.)
              Director Cohen.
19
20
              BOARD MEMBER COHEN: Yes.
21
              MR. SNIPES: The motion carries.
22
              CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you.
23
              Ladies and gentlemen, Item Number Two this
24
    morning is the consideration of approving the Updated Total
25
    Expenditure Authorization Request of our Chief Financial
```

Officer, Mr. Annis.

Good morning, Brian.

MR. ANNIS: Good morning, Mr. Chair and Board

Members. So we're coming to you today with a -- I'm sorry,

did I jump the gun? Okay. We're coming to you today with

a --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just showed up. I just jumped in, that's all.

MR. ANNIS: We're coming to you today with this expenditure authorization request really, because of the recent award of significant federal grants. We received four federal grants in 2023, totaling about 3.3 billion. The match requirement for those federal grants is about 900 million, so we have about 4.1 billion of new activity we're ready to proceed with because of these federal grants.

In fact, Christine Inyoue comes after me with part of that to advance final design. So we're coming to you now so we can advance work as quickly as possible on some of the newly funded scope. Next slide, please.

Just as a background for the Board, the Board Policy 11-001 directs Board approval of the annual fiscal year budget and multiyear program baseline budgets, or sometimes we call them also expenditure authorizations. The Board last approved an expenditure authorization in March of 2023. And the amount approved was a \$20 billion.

Staff with this item is recommending the Board approve a new authorization level of \$26 billion, an increase of about 6 billion, again mostly generated because of the federal grant awards. And also to update for some of the 2023 project update report numbers as well. And I'll go through those in more detail.

Again, we come to you today instead of later, because we are able to get some of this work going very quickly because some of the work would be based on current contracts where we can issue a Notice to Proceed. Or in the case of advancing design or in the case of right-of-way, get to work very quickly as well with appraisals on some of those early right-of-way efforts. Next slide, please.

This is a little further detail on the Board action in March of '23. Again, that action increased the expenditure authorization to 20 billion. Really it was supported by an action the Legislature had taken in the prior year to appropriate the remaining 4.2 billion of Prop 1A bond funds in March. We did not comprehensively adjust the program baseline budget for all costs in the 2023 Project Update Report. We focused on completing the civil construction work CP1 through 4 and limited the adjustment at that time to the CP1 through 4 civil work. Next slide, please.

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Brian, does that -- quickly -- does that mean that we've expended all the Prop 1A money?

MR. ANNIS: We have not yet expended all Prop 1A money. We think it'll be that 4.2 billion would be fully spent probably sometime in the '24-'25 fiscal year.

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Okay.

MR. ANNIS: So this slide is just a status or a summary of where we are today before this requested action, again 20 billion is the expenditure authorization. I want to note that most of that is that Central Valley civil construction, the track and systems work. Also though it does include the bookend funding, about 1.3 billion that's the Caltrain electrification, LA Union Station, etc. It also includes some early efforts that the Board authorized on configuration level design on Merced to Bakersfield extensions, and also the station design in that area as well up to a configuration level. Next slide.

So a lot has happened since March of '23. So the January 2024 expenditure authorization we're requesting today would incorporate those major updates of again the 3.3 billion of federal grant awards, and we'll go through those on the next slide. We note though, at a high level that the federal grants in our not only advancing design and construction on Merced to Bakersfield, but very

significantly are also provided funds for the six highspeed rail train sets that of course the Board had issued,
approved the issuance of the Request for Qualifications
late last year.

Those procurements have advanced again the RFQ.

The Rail Systems Engineering Services Contract the Board approved last year has been executed. And of course, the Board's also approved the release of the track and systems design contract. And there'll be many more procurements coming to the Board this spring around train sets and track and systems as well. Next slide.

So our four federal grant awards in 2023 are as follows. The big one of course, Fed-State Partnership awarded in December. That's 3.074 billion. It includes six train sets, train set facilities, construction of the Fresno station, final design and right-of-way acquisition for the Merced and Bakersfield extensions, and funding to extend construction into Bakersfield. That's about a 13-mile extension that the Federal Government chose to focus on there. It gets as near the Bakersfield airport from Poplar down to 13 miles down near -- again, I think it's just to the west of Highway 99 near the airport.

I'd just note here we've received some questions recently on this. So we did apply for the second track on the 119-mile segment. The Federal Government did not fund

that with this particular Fed-State Partnership Grant.

They chose instead to focus on an additional 13 miles of construction toward Bakersfield. So you know, we continue to look for funding for that second track. I know that the Legislature, the Board, wants to see that built as a two-track system initially. And it makes a lot of sense to do so, so we'll continue to explore a future federal grants for that. Or if we don't receive those then we'll explore the option of state funding to support the second track construction.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: So as we put out our Track and Systems RFP, or we're going to be putting out for two tracks or one track?

MR. ANNIS: Well, because that's a multistage procurement, the first step will be bringing on the contractor to design, so they'll design it as a as a two-track system. And then the first action in construction would be for CP4, for I think in about a year. So at that point we would need to identify the funding, and we would with that contractor negotiated price for starting with the CP4 construction.

And again, the hope is by that time we'll have identified the state funds or the federal grant awards to go ahead and build it as a two-track system.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay, that's going to be a

problem.

MR. KELLY: Well, it's the reality of not having all our funding in place. And so what we're -- our intention is to build a two-track electrified system for all 171 miles. And the interesting thing about what the Federal Government did on this grant, it's a very good grant for us, but they extended the -- as Brian indicated, they give us money to extend further into Bakersfield for two tracks into Bakersfield. So we're going to build two tracks along the entire thing. We have to work through the chronology of how it's done based on available funding.

And so that's the way we're going to do it. But ultimately, when we're in service we want to build a two-track electrified system regardless of the costs.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: What's the dollar amount for that second track?

MR. KELLY: It's about 1.4 roughly for that second track.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay, thank you.

BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: We're still short money to finish this whole segment. We don't have all the money. We're probably about \$7 billion short, that we still have to find to finish this segment from Bakersfield to Merced as a two-track system. So the Board's got to go after it and get the rest of these funds, so we can finish this

thing.

MR. KELLY: Yeah, I think it's --

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Over time, but initially we're going to be designing the construction of two?

MR. KELLY: Yes, two tracks. It makes all the sense in the world to build the initial operating segment as two tracks.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah.

MR. KELLY: And so that's what we've indicated we're going to do. Look, the entirety of this project from day one is about been scrambling to find money to do what we need to do. And candidly, we've been wildly successful. And so we're going to continue to be.

And so, as Jim indicated, last year we put out the Project Update Report. We updated the cost estimate to get the 171 mile segment done. We indicated there was an \$8 to \$10 billion gap. We identified a five-year federal funding strategy to get about 8 billion in federal funds. And in the first two years of that five-year strategy we're at 3.4 billion. And so we're going to continue to keep going back.

And we're very clear, and you'll hear us say as we get to the Business Plan and things that are coming up next on the horizon, that we still need to stabilize state funding for this project, because our only source of

ongoing money expires in 2030. And that's got to get stabilized, so we can do all the things we need to do. And those are the conversations that we're going to have in front of us.

But I don't want to -- at the same time I

certainly don't want to undervalue what the Federal

Government just did. Because you're going to see a chart shortly I think here, about how it changed our future just with this one grant in terms of what we can fund. It's amazing. And we've got to just keep going at it and keep fighting the fight and keep working to get it done.

(Overlapping colloquy. Yeah, no question.)

CHAIR RICHARDS: I think you can make it even stronger than that. The Federal Government, our management team in looking at the IGA determined what we thought we could compete for in 2023. And the Federal Government funded exactly what we thought we could compete for, so there was no more that we could have asked for in the federal state partnership. But the key is now what happens in '24-'25 in the balance of the IGA.

BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes?

BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: If I could just comment?

24 You know, having seen the various expenditure

authorizations over the years this is a good news one.

This is one I'm real happy to see, and don't -- think that it portends good things for the future. It puts us in the right momentum. So, you know, we were so used to sort of negative reports, and this one is very positive. So I'm very pleased to see it. Thank you.

CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Director Schenk.

MR. ANNIS: Yeah, and three other grant awards to mention here on the slide. The CRISI Award of 202 million for six grade separations in the City of Shafter, the RAISE Grant for 20 million, Fresno historic depot renovation.

And lastly, a grant that is small in award amount, but it's significant ongoing because we've been accepted into the FRA's Corridor Identification and Development Program. So that comes with an automatic award of 500,000, which isn't a lot of money. However, being in the program is putting us in the priority for future work. We've been accepted in really one of the national priority corridors, so that will be helpful for future planning grants. It'll be helpful for future construction grants, because we've been prioritized.

MR. KELLY: And Brian, just to be clear for the Board and the public, that prioritization in that Corridor ID Program, tell people what the scope of that corridor is.

MR. ANNIS: Sure, so this is the Phase 1 Corridor from San Francisco to Los Angeles, Anaheim. So that full

corridor has been brought in. So again we think when we apply for future, you know, advancing design work, planning efforts, all of that will be in a higher ranking, because we're part of this Priority Corridor Program. All right.

Next slide, please.

So in addition to the new federal grant awards, as mentioned, the last expenditure authorization provided updates for the PUR related to the civil construction.

What we're asking now is that we do the other components of the '23 PUR track and systems is, again for the single track is what we've included in the baseline to date, because that's what the federal ARRA grant requires. So there was an adjustment for the second track.

And this came up earlier in the discussion, about 1.4 -- I'm sorry, this number, 1.4 billion is in the PUR for the single track.

The other construction work in the Central Valley, 127 million. It includes things like ongoing property management, freight rail coordination, State Route 46 work we're doing in coordination with Caltrans.

And lastly, Program Management and Support/Other.

This includes ongoing support contracts for the Central

Valley and program wide. So this is our Project Delivery

Partner, all the other contracts that assist us in our

efforts -- not just for the Central Valley construction,

but also for managing our remaining two environmental 1 2 clearances. The other statewide work that includes things 3 like the Business Plan and other statewide efforts. 4 slide, please. 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Can I just ask, Brian, on the 6 second track? I know you're not asking for that funding, 7 or that authorization at this time. But it's just an authorization. We don't necessarily have to have funding 8 9 for that to budget for it, right? 10 MR. ANNIS: Well, historically the baseline 11 budget or the expenditure authorization as I see it, it's 12 been part of our funded program. So we have for Merced to 13 Bakersfield at the P65 level at a \$35 billion budget, if 14 you will. But what we're proposing is to bring into the 15 expenditure authorization those components as they have 16 their funding identified specifically. VICE CHAIR MILLER: I understand that. 17 I just 18 don't want anyone to get the impression that we're not 19 thinking two tracks. 20 MR. ANNIS: Right. 21 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right? 22 MR. ANNIS: Correct. 23 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. 24 So again this is just a summary in MR. ANNIS: 25 numbers of what we talked about, of primarily the federal

grant awards that are resulting in the changes here.

Again, going from 20 billion in the current authorization
to 26 billion with the new authorization. Next slide.

So that the next slide gets to the funding, we have to support this, and this is our stack chart of different funding sources. So about in the middle of the chart in purple is our new grant funds, about \$3.3 billion. And then as we get in the top three or four blocks we get to Cap-and-Trade. We feel fairly certain given the last few years of Cap-and-Trade that our funded program is solidly around \$28.7 billion now with the new federal grant. And that's assuming Cap-and-Trade stays the level it has been the last two years of about a billion dollars a year coming to the Authority.

We do note the last two Cap-and-Trade trade auctions have been very robust. And those if we continue at the level of the last two auctions, the Authority would be bringing in closer to 1,250,000,000 a year. So we do, in this chart, show that level as well. These are the kind of the boxes on the top left of the form here. Again, kind of as a baseline number you might consider 28.7 billion. If Cap-and-Trade trade was lower by 250 million a year that would drop to a 27 billion funded program. Again, if it's higher it's 30.5 billion.

Relative to our 8 billion federal target we've

again brought in 3.3. That would leave 4.7 billion. So again, success on achieving the 8 billion target would bring us to about 35 billion again with the high Cap-and-Trade assumption. So really in all scenarios here presented with ranges of Cap-and-Trade, we have sufficient funding for this baseline program we're asking the Board to adopt.

Again, 26 billion in expenditures and we think, you know, most likely about 28.7 billion in revenues. So about an extra 2.7 billion of revenues.

I'll note, you know, we're reserving a lot of those future revenues to match future grants. So that amount may be something around 2 billion would match 2 billion of state funds, would match the 4.7 billion of future federal awards. But still again I think a good picture of funding for the scope we're bringing to you today.

And, you know, back to the second track discussion, you know certainly if Cap-and-Trade stays at the robust level we've seen in the last two auctions, I think there's a pathway there to see using some state funds to fund that second track cost as well. But I'd like to go another year or so and see how we do with federal funds, come back to the Board when construction is ready to start on track and systems.

MR. KELLY: Hey, Brian, and Board Members, I just want to make one comment, because you're going to hear me reference some of this later in this hearing when I talk a little bit about the upcoming Business Plan. I do think one thing that that chart reflects is something that especially with the reinvigoration, if you will of our federal partnership, we really have to solidify the state side of the equation. It's good to have Cap-and-Trade dollars. And it's good to see Cap-and-Trade be as vibrant as it has been the last two years. And it looks like that's going to continue.

And we're happy with that, but there are things about the instability that makes it hard to manage a major infrastructure project. For example, some years it could be down. Some years it could be up. We've had rough years and good years. And that just makes it really hard to predict and stay on task with certain work that we do. And so we've talked in prior Business Plans, we will certainly talk to the next Business Plan, but it's especially true now with the Federal Government reengaged in this project to really stabilize as soon as we can that Cap-and-Trade number. That Cap-and-Trade trade figure.

And that means either working closely with the Legislature and policy makers to extend the Cap-and-Trade Program beyond 2030. Or to find other ways where we can

finance out of those future revenues. Because if we can pull some money forward, we can make some decisions earlier to do some things. We can also take advantage of innovative federal financing options that you must have a repayment source for in a longOterm funding option like extended Cap-and-Trade or some other version of stable state funding is going to be necessary to do that.

So I don't want to solve all those problems today. But I just want to say it's really good to see what Cap-and-Trade is doing. However, for a mega project that is a multiyear mega project like this one we really do need to stabilize that state side of the equation. It's just a task in front of us we've got to get to.

BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: How much further out it would we have to extend Cap-and-Trade in order to sell bonds?

MR. KELLY: Well, again in my humble opinion it's you want something for decades, not a couple of years to sell bonds against. You know, when I think about Caltrans or other they have a constant funding source in gas tax revenues that never go away. And they have an ability to use financing options to finance against those revenue streams for purposes of bringing dollars forward and completing work sooner. And so you really need a permanent source or something that's going to be at least through a

2050 timeline, in my view, to be able to finance against that kind of revenue stream.

You know, the nice thing about Cap-and-Trade is we are one entity that receives funding to build green clean projects, but there are many. We would get 25 percent of a quarterly auction or annual auction revenue. So there's a lot of others who are interested in Cap-and-Trade trade being extended. And it's just really important that it's done relatively soon. That it's done in a very comprehensive way. And importantly for us, I think it's done in a way where we can finance off that revenue stream.

It's really important for us to be able to bring dollars for and really take advantage of innovative federal programs that are low cost periph (phonetic) loans, TIFIA loans, RRIF loans. These kinds of things that you can do to advance certain elements of the program at really low cost and very favorable repayment rates. So that's just something that's before us that has to get done.

BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIR RICHARDS: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Yeah, so thank you for bringing that up, Brian. That was exactly where I was going. First of all, just a preliminary question, Brian, who does the price prognostication about Cap-and-Trade? Is that someone in Finance or is that where do we get our

information from?

MR. ANNIS: Yeah, I think there's not really an official prognosticator in this case. You know, as an auction mechanism the entity that runs it, the Air Board, avoids predicting future. I think they feel that's more appropriate for an auction. Department of Finance tends to be very conservative in their future projections, and really wants to see more of the money in. So they tend to build in a fairly low number in each budget for the assumption of future Cap-and-Trade. So we're really basing these numbers on actual observed revenue coming into the system.

So again the last two years annualized for high-speed rail is \$998. Million, right? So that's at the billion level. And the last two auctions, I think annualized would be about 1,238,000,000. So again we think are kind of our approach here — and we're kind of in a sense creating a bit of our own observation of what the market's doing and using that as the forecast, because we don't have an official administration forecast per se.

BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Well, all the more reason to underscore what Brian's talking about, the instability. So I have a bit of heresy here as a former Secretary of Transportation for California. Maybe one way to start looking, and I know the Highway Lobby and everybody will go

1 nuts just listening to me say this. But as a stable source 2 we might start looking at the gas tax. I mean, we're going 3 to be taking hopefully lots of cars off the road. And 4 there might be a way. It's not something that I've flushed 5 out here today, but every ultimate policy change starts with the kernel of an idea. So I'm just going to throw 6 7 that out. And obviously I'll talk to you and staff more about this later. 8 9 CHAIR RICHARDS: Thanks, Director Schenk. BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Mr. Chairman? Yeah, I 10 11 think the Board's position ought to be trying to get the 12 Legislature to extend Cap-and-Trade for at least 30 years, 13 so that we can move forward and use bond financing to move these projects forward. And so I would like to see some, 14 15 you know, maybe a group from the Board start meeting with 16 the Transportation Committee in the Senate and the 17 Assembly, and try to educate them towards making that 18 happen. 19 CHAIR RICHARDS: I think that's a good idea. 20 Thank you, Director Ghielmetti. 21 Anybody else? (No audible response.) Thank you, 22 go ahead, Brian. 23 MR. ANNIS: Sure. I think there's just a couple

So this is just a detailed slide that gives you

more slides, so next slide, please.

24

25

individual funding sources split into project development, and construction with subtotals. And then we show at the bottom our bookend projects and funding source.

So as you all know, kind of the second to the right column where we show the change most of the dollars in that column are the federal grant levels. And then Capand-Trade is also up as an expenditure. And that's because when the Board acted in March, we built in the full 4.2 billion of Prop 1A, so that's already incorporated into our assumptions of expenditures. So here all the state match is coming from Cap-and-Trade. So that's why that state fund sources up here. So again 6 billion is the addition requested here. And next slide.

And this is a concluding slide. So again in accordance with the existing board policies we've brought this action item to the Board to request an increase to the expenditure authorization by 6,084,000,000 to a new total of 26,094,000,000 to budget additional funds for the newly awarded federal grants and other adjustments from the 2023 Project Update Report.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Mr. Chairman? Mr. Chairman?

22 CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes?

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Have you or a delegation of our Board met with the new Speaker yet?

CHAIR RICHARDS: Say that again, please.

```
1
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Have you met with the new
 2
    Speaker yet?
              CHAIR RICHARDS: I have set up an appointment.
 3
 4
    It's not -- I've asked to have an appointment set up, but
 5
    have not met with him yet. Yeah.
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA:
 6
                                   Okay.
 7
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA:
                                   Thank you.
              BOARD MEMBER COHEN: It might also be wise, first
 8
9
    and foremost, the Speaker. But to go talk to the full
    caucus at some point, and we probably should do that
10
11
    regularly, semi-regularly. Just to get in front of them to
12
    make sure that they have understanding and buy-in with the
13
    project and the challenges, and are forward thinking with
14
    it for us.
15
              CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Director Cohen.
16
              Anybody else? Okay. All right. This is -- go
17
            Did you have something else?
    ahead.
18
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: I was going to move the item.
              CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you. This is an action
19
20
    item.
           Do we have a motion for approval? (No audible
21
    response.)
22
              CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay, a motion and a second.
23
              Mr. Secretary, please call the roll.
24
              MR. SNIPES: Director Schenk.
25
              BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: (No audible response.)
```

1	MR. SNIPES: Chair Richards.
2	CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes.
3	MR. SNIPES: Director Camacho.
4	BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yes.
5	MR. SNIPES: Vice Chair Miller.
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
7	MR. SNIPES: Director Perea.
8	BOARD MEMBER PEREA: (No audible response.)
9	MR. SNIPES: Director Ghielmetti.
10	BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Yes.
11	MR. SNIPES: Director Escutia. (No audible
12	response.)
13	Director Williams.
14	BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: Aye.
15	MR. SNIPES: Director Cohen.
16	BOARD MEMBER COHEN: Yes.
17	MR. SNIPES: The motion carries.
18	CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you very much.
19	And we've just noted that Director Williams has
20	joined the meeting and was here for the discussion on the
21	previous item.
22	Next on our agenda today we have to consider the
23	Approval of the Issuance of the Second Notice to Proceed
24	for the Merced to Madera Project Section. I'm going to ask
25	our CEO to introduce this before Ms. Inouye comes up.

MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board Members. This is a follow on to the adoption by the Board some time ago to move forward with advancing the design work for the extensions to Merced and Bakersfield. We structured each of those under Notices to Proceed. And we have advanced and are on schedule to reach a 30 percent design, draft design period now as 2023 has ended. A draft design, what we call a configuration footprint, for the extensions both into Merced and Bakersfield.

Christine Inouye who heads up our work is the Strategic Delivery Lead, and is really what I call our looking forward person here, will do the presentation on this. But when the Board authorized us to move forward on the design work, again we set it up in three different NTPs. We've been advancing two of those three.

And just following on what Brian Annis just went through, because we got that federal grant, specifically that grant covered now bringing the design work up to 100 percent for the extensions into Merced and Bakersfield. So what Christine is going to lay out for the Board Members today is our proposal to advance for the Merced extension to 100 percent design.

And again now that we've got the federal grant about 80 percent of those dollars will be funded with federal dollars. So that's what's before you here. And

with that I'll ask Christine Inouye to come up and do the presentation.

Thanks, Christine.

MS. INOUYE: Good morning, and thank you for that, for the introduction.

Good morning, Chair Richards and the Board of Directors. I'm Christina Inouye, the Chief Engineer of Strategic Delivery here at the Authority. And today I'll be presenting Agenda Item Number Three, Consider Providing Approval to Issue Notice to Proceed-2 for the Merced to Madera Project.

The Authority is recommending approval to issue NTP-2 for Merced to Madera, High-Speed Rail Contract 2202. NTP-2 will result in continuing design services with Stantec Consulting and Services Incorporated to complete final design and construction ready documents. This is a significant step for the authority and a major milestone towards completing the Merced Extension and the early operating segment connecting Merced, Fresno, and Bakersfield. Next slide.

NTP-2 will advance the project into Stage 4 of our stage project delivery process. This is the early works and the right-of-way stage. The NTP-2 services will include final design and construction ready documents, construction staging plan and constructability reviews,

updated travel time enhancements, updated project risks, construction schedules, and cost estimates. We'll also begin securing the environmental permits during this stage of the work. Next slide.

NTP-2 begins at R Street in Merced and continues south to the north end of CP1. This is the entire length of the Merced to Madera Project. The NTP-2 contract value is \$103.4 million. And this is the same amount reported to the Board at the time of the RFQ and contract award in 2022.

The work is planned to be completed in two years, with some overlap planned with NTP-1 and NTP-3. Next slide.

The M2M Project has made great progress since we've awarded the contract in 2022. This past October, Stantec submitted the draft configuration footprint to the Authority on schedule.

In December, and after review, the Authority accepted the draft configuration footprint also on schedule.

Value engineering, and value analysis workshops were conducted in the fall to challenge and analyze the design, looking to improve performance, value, quality and to reduce costs. Final decisions on the value engineering and value analysis items are planned for next month. And

1 | the items will be incorporated into the final design.

Cost estimation workshops were also conducted.

Next slide.

Alignment workshops to optimize the design and enhance the travel time were conducted last summer and the right-of-way parcels for the MTM section have been completed or have been identified.

Right-of-way acquisition activities are planned to begin this spring along with the completion of all of our third-party agreements.

And lastly, Stantec is exceeding all of our small business utilization goals. I'm happy to report that Stantec has achieved 39 percent small business, 13-and-a-half disadvantaged business enterprise, and 7 percent DVBE. Next slide.

NTP-2 will be funded with the federal state partnership grant, which was awarded to the Authority in December. The grant will fund approximately 80 percent of the total cost of the final design, which is about \$82.7 million.

The related Board item requesting approval to augment the expenditure authorization related to this new federal grant included the scope of NTP-2 and final design. Next slide.

The key contract dates are shown here. We signed

```
the contract in October of '22, and quickly issued NTP-1.
 1
 2
    NTP-3 was issued in May of 2023. And with the Board
 3
    approval, we anticipate issuing NTP-2 in mid-April of this
 4
    year. And then work on the final design will begin once
 5
    NTP-2 is issued. Next slide.
              And that concludes the presentation.
                                                     Thank you
 6
 7
    for your time and your consideration. Happy to take any
    questions.
 8
 9
              BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Mr. Chairman?
10
              MS. INOUYE: Yes.
11
              BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Would this contract
12
    enable us to do any right-of-way acquisition?
13
              MS. INOUYE: Yes, we are actually ready to begin
14
    right-of-way acquisitions activities as soon as we get the
15
    NTP-2, so yes.
16
              BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: Will we have to be in
17
    60 percent design in order to do that?
18
              MS. INOUYE: We actually can begin on some of the
19
    full acquisition parcels. And then once the project
20
    reaches 60 percent design, we'll have certainty for those
21
    that are anticipated to be partial acquisitions.
22
              BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI:
                                        Thank you.
23
              BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Mr. Chairman, just a quick
24
    comment?
25
              CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes. Go ahead, Ernie.
```

1	BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yeah, I appreciate the
2	presentation, by the way. And the observation is the speed
3	at which you made the presentation allows us to digest
4	information a little easier. Some of our presenters
5	presented a lot more quickly. And for some of us, we don't
6	digest information as quickly. Your presentation is much
7	easier to digest. Thank you.
8	MS. INOUYE: Thank you.
9	BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yeah.
10	CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Director Camacho.
11	Any other questions or comments?
12	BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Motion approved.
13	CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay, we have a motion and a
14	second.
15	Please call the roll.
16	MR. SNIPES: Director Schenk.
17	BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: (No audible response.)
18	MR. SNIPES: Chair Richards.
19	CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes.
20	MR. SNIPES: Director Camacho.
21	BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yes.
22	MR. SNIPES: Vice Chair Miller.
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
24	MR. SNIPES: Director Perea.
25	BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yes.

MR. SNIPES: Director Ghielmetti. 1 2 BOARD MEMBER GHIELMETTI: 3 MR. SNIPES: Director Escutia. (No audible 4 response.) 5 Director Williams. BOARD MEMBER WILLIAMS: 6 7 MR. SNIPES: Director Cohen. BOARD MEMBER COHEN: Yes. 8 CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you. 9 And Ms. Inouye, if you wouldn't mind letting our 10 11 contractor Stantec know how much we appreciate the fact 12 that they have not only met, but exceeded our small 13 business goals. And I think that since it's ever come to 14 the Board when this policy was put in place years and years 15 ago, I don't think we've ever had a report of a contractor 16 exceeding meeting and exceeding those goals. So it's not 17 lost on this Board. Thank you. 18 MS. INOUYE: Thank you. 19 CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay. Moving on now, ladies and 20 gentlemen, to Item Number Four today is the Economic Impact 21 Analysis of the High-Speed Rail Project's Investments. And 22 Mr. Boughton, good morning. 23 MR. BOUGHTON: Good morning. Thank you, Director 24 Richards, and Chair, Members. Given Director Camacho's I 25 will try and make this as brief as possible. Try and beat

Christine's time.

So I'm here today to go over --

CHAIR RICHARDS: Is there a chance you can just raise that as high as you can? Either that or shrink yourself about four to six inches, I don't know. Whatever is easier.

7 MR. BOUGHTON: I'll just lean forward a little 8 bit.

So I'm here today go over the 2023 Economic Impact Analysis. This updates the report that we've done since 2017, through the 2023 fiscal year, '22-'23 fiscal year through June. So all together we've analyzed every year expenditure data or investment in this project from 2006 until June 2023. Next slide.

So this report that we're going to go over today, again highlights the expenditure up until June 2023. And we also analyze projected costs and economic impacts for the future based on the Business Plan or the Draft Business Plan data. Next slide.

So we have three major economic indicators that we calculate. The first is Job-Years, which is the equivalent of a one-year long full-time job supported by the project. So for example, one employee worker for five years equals five job years, and five employees working for one year would also equal five job years. So for ease of

use I'm going to say "jobs" going forward onto this presentation.

We also have Labor Income, which includes all forms of employment income including compensation: wages, benefits and payroll taxes that firms like the High-Speed Rail pays their employees. And/or income earned by self-employed workers or unincorporated sole proprietorships.

And finally, we had the big number, the Economic Output, which is an estimated value of all economic activity taking place as a result of our investment in this project. So \$1 invested in high-speed rail sparks several additional activities in addition to labor income, including the purchases of goods and services. And it basically it measures the economic ripple of our investment into the State of California. Next slide.

And how we get to those indicators are measured by the accumulation of three effects. By having Direct Effects, which are direct investment from the High-Speed Rail to our contractors and employees. Next we have Indirect Effects, which is the second level down which includes investment from contractors and employees into things like concrete, steel, supplies, transportation components, computer components, that type of thing. And then finally, we have Indirect Effects, which are further ripples where you have like employees and contractors

spending on housing and groceries and further kind of gross domestic product type of ripples. Next slide.

So we've, as I said earlier we've been doing this report since 2017. And we're continuing the same modeling method that uses industry standard models. We use a software called IMPLAN that we put in our expenditure inputs, and it produces the outputs as I talked about earlier.

This year the only really solid or the notable change is what's called the Muriel (phonetic) Model, which more accurately predicts expenditures and impacts within regions of the state. So not to get too into it, but it does a much better job of showing where these impacts hit, and how the interplay between regions comes into play.

When we first did this analysis we had a lot of different groups peer review our report. And we continue to tout that review, since we've maintained the same model and methodology since 2017. Next slide.

So what we've analyzed to date for this report is \$11.2 billion of investment, again from 2006 until June of 2023. So that's the input model, or input number that we use to calculate our expenditures. We also use projections going forward based on the Business Plan data, and we'll talk about that in a few slides. Next.

So one of the great benefits of this project

especially is the impacts, the positive impacts
economically and socioeconomically of our investments into
disadvantaged communities throughout the state. California
recognizes specific geographic areas as disadvantaged
communities based on a series of indices that include
pollution burden, sensitive populations, and socioeconomic
factors. In this last year alone we spent 66 percent of
our investment in disadvantaged communities, which is a not
insignificant number.

All told, throughout this project since 2006 we've had 56 percent of our 11.2 billion directly invested into disadvantaged communities, which again is a massive number. Next.

And for some of the indicator impacts we've supported over 92,000 jobs to date, or at least as of June 2023. So each year that number grows as we continue to invest our dollars into this project. Next,

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Excuse me, is that number based on man hours? And not actually that number of people working, actually working?

MR. BOUGHTON: Yeah, it's an output of a model basically where it counts hours and through dollars invested, right? So it's an output of all of our investments and including the direct, indirect, and induced effects. So it's not as simple to say it's directly hours

involved, but it's a standard economic modeling number that supports that, if that helps clarify it.

So yeah, I wouldn't say directly hours involved, but it is definitely model supported.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay.

MR. BOUGHTON: Next.

So our Labor Income invested to date is 7 billion. Again, that's directly income to our contractors or employees. And then the continued effects of that investment into other income, labor income effects from the induced, indirect, and that from the Authority's investment. Next.

Then the big number, the Economic Output, \$18.4 billion of economic output that this project has supported or generated since 2006. Again, that's only for our dollars currently invested, 11.2 billion. Next.

One of the benefits of this analysis is that we attribute the dollars and investments and impacts to where these expenses are incurred throughout the state. So this slide will show the different regions that we apply the expenditures to, and where these impacts are felt.

Obviously the Central Valley is the largest one. That's where most of the expenditures are occurring and where the construction is ongoing. So we have to date \$7.7 billion of economic output just for the Central Valley.

We also have the Bay Area, Sacramento, LA and then we also have calculations for the rest of California. And again we apply the investments to where they are occurred. So it's a good snapshot of up and down the state, all the positive economic benefits this project has generated so far. Next slide.

So the next couple slides we're going to talk about projected impacts. Previous ones were recognized impacts through our direct investment. The next few are with our projected Business Plan data. So we're going to predict the impacts for the whole project. Next slide.

I won't go through all the numbers again to make this quicker. The Central Valley we project to have \$69.6 billion of Economic Output once this project is complete. Again, that's just for our direct investment in completing the project, not for operations. Next slide.

Northern California is projected to have at 53.4 billion in economic output. Next slide.

Southern California is 80.3 billion in economic output projected. Next slide.

And then so for this slide breaks it down by what we can consider active projects. So Merced to Bakersfield Project, an economic output project of 70.3 billion. The Valley expansion, 43.9 billion additional for Phase 1 builds out 89 billion. So all told for Phase 1, \$203.6

1 billion projected economic impact with 945,000 jobs 2 supported. Next slide. 3 So that's it in a nutshell. Again, the Central 4 Valley is obviously the major place where the investment 5 has occurred although it has occurred throughout the state. We've had \$7.7 billion in economic activity alone in that 6 7 region. And again, over half of our investment has impacted positively, disadvantaged communities, which again 8 9 is a major benefit to this project and I think something to 10 be applauded. I think that that's it if you've got any questions. 11 12 CHAIR RICHARDS: (Indiscernible) Yeah, just thank 13 you, Mr. Boughton. 14 Any questions from Members of the Board? 15 Director Schenk?

BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Thank you. Not really a question, but thanks for the good news. And this really is something that we should be touting, and those who are benefiting should be touting it.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, I'd like to just build on what Director

Cohen had said, in meeting with expanded groups of

legislators. We see this good news, we understand it, and
those of us who have been able to see the what you would

call induced economic impact in other countries. When I

lived in Japan or traveling in Spain it's profound. And we

can't expect that people who aren't involved as we are,
will understand that. So it's up to us to help educate.
And I think this is exactly the kind of thing that we ought
to be taking to not just the Speaker, but as Director Cohen
has mentioned to an expanded group of legislators. And
calling on those who are impacted, so positively to get out
there in their communities and spread this as well, up and
down the state. Because that's the kind of support that
will help us as we look to expanded stable sources of
funding. So thank you for this.

MR. BOUGHTON: Thank you.

CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Director Schenk.
Any other questions or comments? Yes, Director
Cohen.

BOARD MEMBER COHEN: Thank you for this report and equally good and important news. And just to add to that, excuse me, I think in addition to the Legislature, we need to meet with organizations up and down the state that represent I mean, effectively any constituency. Because everybody would be impacted by this I would argue, some more than others but everybody would be impacted positively by high-speed rail.

There was a beautiful piece I just wanted to highlight for those that may not have seen it done. Forgive me, I forget actually who covered it, but it was

around the impact that high-speed rail is having and will have for Fresno. And what struck me about it that I thought was so important is it tells the story really well. So that people can envision how high-speed rail will impact them, their communities, and the state.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

And we need to win the hearts and minds of people across California for this project. And to do that they have to be able to see it. They have to be able to see it and envision it. That will help them want to pay for it, but we need to be thinking about the human-centered story of high-speed rail. When we're talking about dollars, when we're talking about the unsexy stuff, for lack of a better word, we need to be remembering the people that we have to win. And so we really do need to start meeting with coalitions up and down this state in big ways. And reminding people that high-speed rail is happening and will happen for them and will transform their life and the life of their community. So I just want to highlight that Fresno piece for anybody that didn't have a chance to see it and read it. Do you remember?

MR. KELLY: Yeah, I do. That was in "CalMatters" is where that piece appeared.

BOARD MEMBER COHEN: Who isn't reading "CalMatters"?

CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Director Cohen.

1 Any other questions or comments? Mr. Boughton, 2 thank you very much. 3 This is just an information item, ladies and 4 gentlemen. Moving on to Item Number Five is the Business 5 Plan Update and CEO Kelly. BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Mr. Chairman, when does the 6 7 Business Plan come to us for approval? 8 CHAIR RICHARDS: The Business Plan? 9 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah. CHAIR RICHARDS: Yeah, it'll be April, next month 10 11 I suspect. 12 MR. KELLY: Yeah, in fact I'm going to give you a 13 little summary of that now. The Business Plan will be -- a 14 draft of it will be made public in the first week of 15 February. It comes to the Board. And then the Board, as 16 you will recall Director Perea, the Board has a public 17 hearing on this at the end of February. We'll take public 18 comments for the next 60 days. And then the Board will 19 direct changes that it wants to the document. And it will 20 be before the Board for approval at the April hearing. 21 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. 22 MR. KELLY: So that we can submit it to the 23 Legislature by the statutory deadline of May 1st. So we'll 24 come back to you guys in that timeline. 25 So I appreciate the question. I did want to just

spend a minute giving a bit of a summary about the upcoming Business Plan. Again, it's become sort of an annual exercise for us. And when I started here, the Business Plan was the big document where we updated where we are on cost and schedule and all those things. And then I think with the combination of COVID, and candidly us getting more definitional on exactly what we're building and how we're going to move forward with it, we sort of had a merging of the Project Update Report, which is an odd-year report we put out, with the Business Plan in even-numbered years.

And one of the things that's occurred is the Project Update Report has now become the more comprehensive document. It's the document where we are really laying out more comprehensive updates about where we've been, where we are, what the reset is on scheduling costs. And the Business Plan is now almost updating what the last Project Update Report said. That again is a combination of I think the impacts of COVID that mess with our timelines a little bit. But also the fact that we got very definitional about what we're trying to do, starting with the 2019 Project Update Report. And so that that shifted a little bit how we do the work. That said, next slide, our first slide here.

The Business Plan is required by statute every two years and even numbered years. It includes limited

updates to forecasts that we know at the time, and as I said the COVID impacted the release date, although we're now back on more of a regular schedule. Our 2023 Project Update Report provided more -- gives us a little bit more time to work through forecasts and estimates. And that report was one where we really did a major update of both cost estimates and schedule for the program in the 2023 Project Update Report that we put in to me it was a keystone document that really are redefined the foundational work that we have before us. It updated as I said, the cost and schedule estimates for the Merced to Bakersfield segment. And specified that the Merced to Bakersfield segment would be built as a dual track electrified railroad with a shared station in Merced. And that has since become a statutory requirement for the program. So again, that definition came forward in that plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now as we come forward with the Business Plan it's just 10 months later since we last did the Project Update Report. There here is significant progress that we'll be reporting in the Business Plan. You will see that we still aligned with the project, with the 2023 PUR direction scheduled budget and vision and where we plan to go. And notably with the 3.1 billion federal funds granted in December how that has changed our landscape a little bit

for the better. And we'll talk a bit about that in this plan.

There's also extensive discussion in this upcoming Business Plan about risk identification, management and mitigation. It's one of the things that we have, I think, done much better at the Authority. We spend a lot of time now on enterprise and program risk identification, management and mitigation. And the program will -- the Business Plan will talk a bit about that. Next slide, please.

So again, reminding that March again 10 months ago we did a major program restatement with the '23 Project Update Report. We set a target for the schedule of the early operating segment to be ready for service between 2030 and 2033. We updated cost estimates. We set a funding strategy that informed the Legislature about how we would move forward on this. And how we'd work with our federal partner to bring dollars to the program.

We established in the PUR new ridership estimates that reflected changes to California's population, which is much more stagnant today than it's been. And also impacts of COVID on transit and rail ridership. We established all of that in the 23 PUR.

We also updated our baseline budget and schedule, including a schedule for the completion of the Construction

Packages 1, 2-3 and 4. As you see there 2026, 2026, and 2023 for the respective construction packages, and we set those targeted goals for critical procurements such as track and systems, train sets, and stations. And I say a lot here and I'm updating you about the Business Plan, but I'm talking a lot about the PUR. Because this '24 Business Plan is working off of that document directly. And a lot of what we said in that PUR is what we're still reporting how are we doing against that. And that's what the Business Plan is aligning. So next slide here.

Major accomplishments since the release of the 2023 Business Plan. One, of course, the big news is we've been awarded. And not just the last award, but we were awarded several grants in the last 11 months that total about \$3.3 billion. We advanced procurements to move the project forward toward operations. Our first construction package covering 22-and-a-half miles is really reaching substantial completion.

Our construction jobs now exceed 12,200 in 2023. In 2018, that was a 2,500. So construction jobs have come a long, long way.

We exceeded our goals for the percentage of right-of-way parcels acquired at 98 percent.

And as we talked about a little bit earlier in the Finance and Audit Committee, we set a calendar year

1 record for the number of utilities we relocated in a single 2 year at 254. 3 Designs for the extensions to Merced and 4 Bakersfield are on schedule, meeting our contract 5 milestones for well now the Board has just taken action to allow us to advance the design to Merced. So very key 6 7 accomplishments since the 2023 PUR came out. In the '24 8 Business Plan we'll talk a bit about those. 9 Is there a question? 10 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chair, may I ask a 11 question? 12 CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes. 13 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Just how it's Caltrain 14 electrification going in the Bay? San Francisco to 15 (indiscernible)? 16 MR. KELLY: Yeah. So that's a project that costs 17 about \$2.9 billion. We are contributing about 733 million 18 for that from both Prop 1A dollars and from Cap-and-Trade 19 trade dollars. Their scheduled for completion is the end 20 of 2024. 21 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would add that bullet. 22 MR. KELLY: Yeah, so (indiscernible). 23 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Because that's going to be --24 MR. KELLY: You will see that in the Business 25 Plan.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Great.

MR. KELLY: But yeah, so that's the other thing is some of those bookend investments like that one, they've already finished the major grade separation in the Peninsula. Grade separation in LA is advancing and will be completed in '25. The thing that we have more work to do on bookends is the work on the LA Union Station with Metro. But all of those updates will be included in the Business Plan.

Again, key updates just for us that we will cover in the Business Plan on where we are in the Valley.

Advancements across all the major activities consistent with the direction of the '23 PUR you see, sorry, on the next slide, if I can jump to the next slide.

This is the scorecard again on where we stand on the construction of each construction package in the Valley in terms of where we are on slide 5 with design completed, where right-of-way stands, utility relocation structures, all of that overall contract value spent versus contract value. So all of these things will be updated in the '24 Business Plan. Next slide, please.

Other things we will cover, again I think importantly the cost and schedule are generally remaining consistent with the 2023 Project Update Report. The cost estimates are really unchanged from the PUR released just

as I said 11 months ago.

While the cost estimates are unchanged the Business Plan will talk about the cost risk for each of the construction packages. And the Bay Area will be the highest cost risk is tied to CP2-3. It's both the largest construction package and the one that was impacted most by the 2022-2023 flooding.

We have a major trade change order with that contractor that's in arbitration. An arbitration decision will be made later this spring on that one. There are third-party issues that we're still resolving on 2-3.

And the final revised baseline schedule, even though we know we're going to hit the 2026 schedule, getting it down to the specific date and month is still in negotiation. So those things have to conclude on 2-3. That's the biggest risk area on our cost issues.

The Phase 1 Cost Updates are pending completion of environmental documents for two Southern California sections, Palmdale to Burbank and LA to Anaheim. And as has been our policy, we typically update in total the full cost estimate of Phase 1 once that environmental work is completed. Next slide.

We will update further on ridership numbers in the Business Plan too here. And you will recall that one of the big things that you saw in the PUR was a reduction in ridership. On these charts before you here, the yellow box is what we reported in the 2023 PUR in terms of ridership.

In terms of the Central Valley, the Merced to Bakersfield segment, that segment we've done some system optimization given the ridership numbers that were in the PUR. So we're seeing a ridership number now at about 7.8 million a year. That's compared to if you don't build the electrified system, the regional transit and rail ridership in that region in 2030, would be about 2.8 million riders. We still think there's a huge public benefit on moving forward with the Central Valley system.

On Valley to Valley things are really relatively consistent, slightly up the ridership between what we reported in the PUR and what we will be seeing in the Business Plan. And when you look a little bit further out into Phase 1, it's down a little bit, but we're still hovering around 30 million riders a year once we are in full Phase 1 development. And there are a couple of things that are important about this.

For both the Central Valley -- or sorry, the Valley to Valley stretch and the Phase 1 stretch when you're going from Silicon Valley into the Central Valley and for all of Phase 1, these are still revenue-generating projections given these ridership values.

And just to put a little context to these values, in Valley to Valley about 12 million riders a year just to give that some comparison. In the Northeast Corridor, which is the busiest passenger rail corridor in America, the inner-city system run by Amtrak carries about 12-and-a-half passengers a year. So Valley to Valley would be consistent with that number.

And then once you're in Phase 1, LA to San

Francisco, you're carrying about two-and-a-half times the

numbers of riders that are there now in the Northeast

Corridor. So we'll talk about this a bit in the Business

Plan. Next slide.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Brian?

MR. KELLY: Yeah?

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Brian, just briefly, as we're building the system out when we complete the Valley to Valley, when they hit Merced, how do the folks connect into the Bay Area? What's the system, the process?

MR. KELLY: You mean, when we're first at the 20 171?

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah.

MR. KELLY: Yeah, the key connection point will be the Merced Station, where there will be two systems that will join the high-speed train in Merced. One of them is the San Joaquin conventional rail system that will take

passengers either north to Sacramento, or northwest to Oakland. The other one that's coming not to Merced yet, but will be there at around the same time, is the ACE commuter service that goes from San Jose into the Central Valley now, but it'll go further into Merced. And the idea is that it will meet at that redesigned, rebuilt Merced station. And there'll be a simple cross platform transfer to go from there to those other destinations north.

The other thing I've got to say, and this is important, the development of our system down there and that connection with those connecting services, provide about 18 trips, rail trips per day. And just to give that some context, today the Amtrak service, the daily service is about 8 trips per day. So what you're getting is a lot more ridership opportunities, a lot more service, because we're coming there. And working with those connecting services.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yes, as Member Cohen was saying I mean other than we really have to step up our game in educating the legislators, the other side of the coin is the public. And there's a lot of people who ask, "Okay, great, Merced to Bakersfield, but then what?"

MR. KELLY: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: And we do have it then, we have plans (indiscernible).

1 MR. KELLY: (Overlapping colloquy) 2 (indiscernible). 3 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: We need to get more active 4 in letting people know about it. 5 MR. KELLY: Yes, next slide. Sorry, next slide 6 There we go. here. 7 Of course, the big news between the PYR and the 8 Business Plan was the grant awarded the federal funds. And 9 just to be specific, I know we talked about this, but there 10 were specific things that the grant funded. And we will be 11 very specific about that in the Business Plan. 12 It did fund the purchase of six electric high-13 speed trains, both two for testing and commissioning. 14 then four additional ones for full operations. 15 It did fund the design and construction of train 16 set facilities. It did fund the design and construction of 17 the Fresno station. 18 It did fund the final design and right-of-way 19 acquisition for the Merced and Bakersfield extensions, part 20 of which you just authorized us to advance on. 21 And it did fund the Civil Works taction power 22 track overhead catenary system and systems for the 23 Bakersfield Interim Extension deeper into Bakersfield. 24 this grant was very meaningful for us in terms of moving

forward. I think Brian Annis mentioned this earlier, it's

25

1 about 3.1 billion in total for the grant. We matched that 2 with about 900 million or so of state funds. And so you're 3 getting a value of built in excess of 4 billion, for the 3 4 billion or so in federal funds. Next slide. 5 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Yeah, Brian? MR. KELLY: Yeah? 6 7 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Going back to your slide. 8 There was talk in the past, I think Bruce Armistead made in 9 one of his presentations and you had alluded to this, that we might be piggybacking our train set procurement with 10 11 that of Brightline. Are we still doing that or not? 12 MR. KELLY: I'm going to say that that's still a 13 possibility. Brightline is making a decision on what 14 manufacturers they are going to go forward with. I think 15 it is public that the two manufacturers that are qualified 16 to provide our trains are two that Brightline's in 17 negotiations with. So there may still be an opportunity, 18 and we're in discussions with Brightline. spoke with them 19 last week about a potential for how we might be able to do 20 some things together. It's not settled yet, but it's still 21 something we're evaluating. 22 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: So that will depend upon 23 us buying the same from the same manufacturer, obviously. 24 MR. KELLY: Yeah, it would, it would. 25 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Okay.

MR. KELLY: And again that's not -- we're in a procurement right now. And so I don't want to get too far out on that.

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Right.

MR. KELLY: I just say it is something that's been discussed. And again want to see what kind of efficiencies that that possibility might bring.

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Thank you.

MR. KELLY: Okay, next slide. Yeah, I just want to show this, because again I think it's a bit of a graphic depiction of how important that federal grant was.

On the left the box that's got most of that kind of reddish brownish boxes. Looking at the left side of this, it's not really too complicated. It's been in our past Business Plan, we've talked about it here before. But on the left side is the stretch of the Merced to Bakersfield project. And across the top are the different phases of the program.

And we put our funding strategy together in 2022, and 2023, to go to the Federal Government and seek funding. We identified through the blue and the brown unfunded segments that we were hoping to fund with, ultimately what would be that 8 billion, 5-year funding that we asked for with phased development as we go forward on the project.

The dark green, on that chart is funded and

completed in the light green is funded. And so just with the federal grant that came in you see the change in the chart, right. And you go from the left to the right, and the number of items that are now funded with just this grant enables us to move forward on very important project phases. Because this funding is available to us now. Again, getting the design complete, starting to buy the right-of-way for the extensions, buying train sets. All of this now is something that we have in place.

And for those things that are not yet green, getting back to our earlier conversation, those are the areas that we'll focus on seeking additional federal and state funding to get this work done. But again you can see how important that grant was. Next slide.

In terms of what's next and key updates that we'll also cover the Business Plan finishing CPs 1 through 4 design work on the Merced and Bakersfield extensions.

We'll continue the environmental review and remaining project sections Palmdale to Burbank, LA to Anaheim.

Advance work on the collaborative projects in both Northern and Southern California, including project updates on things like the Caltrain Electrification Project, the grade separation projects. And other projects of joint interest including stations like Diridon, or the

Portal in the Bay Area are important things that we are continuing to work on. We'll update that a bit.

We'll talk a little bit about the executed procurements that we have and the things we'll be advancing to get the entirety of the Central Valley work done. And again advancing station designs, and continue to advocate for future funding. Next slide.

Again, just a schedule of things. A reminder that when we first put out the draft plan in February it's sort of management's sense of where the project is, and where we are. That starts a 60-day public comment period including a hearing that you will all host for the public in February. We'll take public comment on this.

The Board will take in that comment over time, direct the staff on changes you want to the Project Update, or sorry, the Business Plan. We'll make those changes, come back to the Board in April to execute those things and to seek approval of the Business Plan. And that final Business Plan is due to the Legislature on May 1st.

And so that is a summary of what's coming before you as we get into February, March. And April. I'm happy to answer any questions or move on.

CHAIR RICHARDS: Any questions for our CEO.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: I have a couple.

CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes, Director Perea?

1 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Brian, if I could suggest 2 that maybe it will be a chart in the plan. But legislators 3 they have a lot on their plate, and most times they get big 4 thick reports, they don't have the time to read them. 5 are you going to have like a summary page, executive summary that will even show a chart to show just the basic 6 7 timeline? We're going to have yeah substantial completion by this point in 2026. We're going to have trains bought 8 9 by. We're going to have the track and rail systems 10 completed by here. In other words, just real simple. 11 MR. KELLY: (Overlapping colloquy.) Yeah, 12 totally. So yeah the front -- the plan is in production, 13 but you'll see there will be an executive summary at the 14 front. It'll have charts and graphs and things like that, 15 that show the key timeline dates to make sure that we're in 16 operations in our window that we have identified in the 17 Project Update Report. And so those things will all be in 18 the plan. And we're trying to condense as much of that 19 into the executive summary part to make it as simple read 20 as possible. 21 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah, there's a lot of -- I 22 mean you can find it all in here, but you have to look for 23 it. 24 MR. KELLY: Yeah. 25 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: If you could just have like

1 okay when in the train going to be bought. When's the 2 track going to be built. When there will be testing. way they can just see it. That'd be great. 3 4 MR. KELLY: Well certainly you have the timeline, 5 but as we discussed some of that is going to be funding 6 dependent. And we have to make sure that we get it. 7 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah, now the Track and 8 Systems RFP/ RFQ that was supposed to be out already; is it 9 out? 10 The Track and Systems Design is out. MR. KELLY: 11 We're in design or I'm sorry, you guys approved the RFQ for 12 the track and system design. 13 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Right. 14 MR. KELLY: And we're out now (indiscernible) --15 is Bruce here, (indiscernible) when we're coming back for 16 that? 17 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: January 22nd. 18 MR. KELLY: January 22nd, but are we coming back 19 to the Board for approval? 20 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yeah, we'll come back to the 21 Board. 22 MR. KELLY: In spring? 23 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: In the spring. 24 MR. KELLY: Okay, we'll be back in spring for the 25 approval of that actual contract. So you guys approved us

1 going out on that RFQ. And I think we've got to come back 2 and get you to approve the final award of that. 3 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay, and what other pieces 4 are going out on track and systems, if any? 5 MR. KELLY: Yeah, well we'll be coming forward in 6 7 2024 for a couple of really key procurements. I mean, one 8 of them is obviously we want to move to the RFP on the 9 train system. On the track and system we'll be talking 10 about later in the year, coming back for the first 11 construction element of the track and systems, which will 12 probably include elements of CP4. Getting ready for that 13 construction element. So, you know, design is first, but we'll be 14 15 coming back for some of the construction elements on this 16 as well. 17 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay, great. 18 MS. FOWLER: Chair Richard, if I could --19 CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes, please. 20 MS. FOWLER: -- make a comment on this very 21 topic. 22 I think just a reminder when these active 23 procurements are out staff, executives, the Board, it's 24 very important we not communicate with any of the folks

that are potential bidders just to ensure we don't have any

25

unfair, you know, problems that arise and cause protests.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: And you let us know what (indiscernible) right?

MS. FOWLER: We absolutely do. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: And may I just add a note to the bidders that they shouldn't try to communicate with us.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right, because we're in that process right now. With that may I?

So, Brian, so what I'm taking from this is are what our numbers in the Project Update Report, the latest one, are consistent. And we're not having an any change in numbers in terms of our budget, our contingency or let us know if there are.

MR. KELLY: Yeah, so what we're going to report that we're in line with the PUR, which we are. But we're also going to report where the risks are. And the one thing that does change, and I had some conversation with Director Cohen about this during the briefing yesterday, is when we finish our environmental documents for each segment we then update the costs for those segments. And in the 2023 PUR in the document we put out in March, we noted that for example on Palmdale to Burbank when we finished that environmental document we'll be at the upper range of that segment's costs. So we won't be done with that work by the

time this plan is out. But we will shortly thereafter.

And so we're saying again in this plan the estimate is here on where we're going to be on that. That final cost estimation will be put in once the environmental work is done. And we do that because during the environmental process, the dollars change.

I'll give you three examples. When we did
Palmdale to Burbank, we had a half a billion dollar change
to get around the Chavez Monument. In Palmdale to Burbank
to meet the Army Corps' request to avoid Una Lake.

(phonetic) It's another \$5 or \$6 million impact. We do
environmental justice and mitigation measures that have
cost to them.

And more recently, we just talked to this Board about a Supplemental Alternatives Analysis for LA to Anaheim. And in doing that we actually reduced the cost of that segment versus where we were earlier by several billion dollars.

So we want to get the work done before we update the costs. Just like we did in the PUR we're going to indicate what those are here. And I'll just say this. In the PUR, we estimated what Phase 1 costs will be in a range. It's got a low, a base, and a high. And when we complete all this environmental work, we will still be within that range. That's what I can tell you.

1 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, so that's a "no 2 significant changes to those numbers," correct? 3 MR. KELLY: Yeah, those haven't been changed in 4 (indiscernible). 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, and same thing with contingency? 6 7 MR. KELLY: That's right. 8 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank vou. 9 MR. KELLY: Okay. 10 CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Vice Chair Miller. 11 Any other questions or comments for our CEO? 12 Hearing none, this, ladies and gentlemen, was an 13 information item as is the next, and that's our CEO Report. 14 MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 On the CEO Report I just want to update a couple 16 of key things, again mostly between our last time we were 17 together and now in some things that are coming up in front 18 of us. So jumping to the first slide we just talked a 19 little bit about this, but a reminder that our Statement of 20 Qualification Packages were received in November for the 21 train set procurements. We qualified both sets of teams 22 that submitted SOQs. That's Alstom Transportation, Inc. 23 and Siemens Mobility, Inc. 24 This step allows us to move forward to the 25 release the RFP, and the eventual procurement of state of

the art high-speed train sets capable of speeds in operation of 220 miles per hour. The train set and related services contract will be funded in part by the federal state grant that we just got.

And the RFP is expected to come to the Board for consideration. There's a change here, in April, not February. We'll be back to the Board for the RFP in April on this train set. Okay. So that's Update One. Next slide.

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Brian?

MR. KELLY: Yes?

BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: One real quick question.

On the two manufacturers, obviously neither one of them are

US manufacturers. And I don't know that we have any US

manufacturers that build trains. But how are we getting

around the Buy America?

MR. KELLY: Well, one Buy America is not for us to get around. We're going to be in full compliance with the Buy America provisions. And so the manufacturers' part of this process is for them to tell us how they'll be in compliance with Buy America. And so that'll be part of our RFP review and process. Just for way of information for folks Alstom does produce some trains in upstate New York. And Siemens, among other places, produces conventional trains anyway and light rail and other things here in

1 Sacramento. And so in other locations, I'm sure that I'm 2 not (indiscernible). 3 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: (Overlapping) But wasn't 4 that considered in the pre-qualification? 5 MR. KELLY: I'm not sure what you mean by "considered"? 6 7 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: Well, when we evaluated we 8 made an evaluation as to whether or not they comply with 9 the requirements. Was that not part of an SOQ and part of 10 an evaluation that we would look at? 11 MR. KELLY: Yes, in terms of meeting the 12 requirements of the Buy America Act, we've been very clear 13 that the Act has to be met in this process, both for the SOQ process and will continue through the RFP process. 14 15 BOARD MEMBER CAMACHO: So we have evaluated that. 16 Thank you. 17 MR. KELLY: Okay, next slide. 18 I am required, of course, to report to the Board 19 any contract adjustments or change orders that exceed 25 20 million, and so I've got a couple I'm going to run through 21 here.

Now the first is a contract amendment we did with our Early Train Operator, that we extended the contract to extend through really further into the operating part of getting into Merced to Bakersfield. And so we extended the

22

23

24

25

contract through November of 2026. That was a \$47.6 million cost.

Operator is both our key advisor on how we get into operations. The kinds of agreements we have to get into. How we structure services between fare operators. They also help us with ridership estimations and things like that. And so the ETO is an important element or important part of how we advance our work.

They're also providing us some international background and flavor about how we approach cost and method methodology as we look forward on cost estimating for the system. And so we're going to rely on them to continue to help us with that as we go forward. So we extended this contract into the end of nearly into 2026. Next slide.

Our contractors under the contract can pass through costs for both bonds that they're required to carry, and the costs of carrying those bonds as well as insurance cost recovery, insurance policies that they have to carry for the project. It's common in all contracts, but as we've extended the contracts pursuant to the Project Update Report they carry through these costs. And so the costs for both the change order for bond costs and for insurance costs have been carried through. And that is a passed through to the Authority, so we just executed those

in the last several weeks. And so 26.7 on bond costs and 33.9 on insurance costs. Next slide.

You know, we talk a lot about third parties.

Third parties as we work through construction and design elements, we've got to make sure that we are meeting requirements of our third party partners. And so we had a couple of change orders in 2-3 that we executed last six months really tied to third-party requirements.

Working closely with Caltrans on some bridge requirements, the Authority revised the design of the north approach section of the Tule River Viaduct. Combined two aerial structures connected by the HSR embankment into a single bridge consistent with Caltrans requirements. And the approval of that change order allows the Authority to compensate the contractor for those construction costs and meet that third-party requirement, which we did under Change Order 369.1, 33.7 million.

And then similarly, another third party, BNSF.

Many of our structures are right next to or along the BNSF

Alignment. And BNSF had requirements for separation

requirements between where their assets are and where ours

are. We've had to implement those into some design changes

before we get into construction on a couple of facilities,

the Tule River Viaduct issue.

So one is requiring a five-foot separation

maintenance offset between the unprotected high-speed rail structure support and the BNSF right-of-way. But that was 39.5.

And then the next slide, also for BNSF requirements there's a vertical clearance that goes along with that too. They require a minimum vertical clearance of 24 feet for the pergola over the existing BNSF track.

And to accommodate that we paid the contractor to meet that third-party requirements, 35 million. Next slide.

AECOM, oh I do -- now getting into some more fun news, if you will. I am happy to introduce you all to the new Principal in Charge for AECOM, who will be our guide as we go forward on navigating the future challenges and opportunities with the projects. And so I'm going to ask my friend Daniel Horgan to stand up who is now an employee of AECOM. And so he's a familiar face to all of you. He prior was with WSP, but he's been an invaluable resource for us to move through this project. And we're thrilled that he's made the transfer to a comm and will continue to be working closely with us on this project.

Thank you, Daniel, and congratulations. Next.

I mentioned to you all that our very own Melissa Figueroa was awarded from Women In Transportation Seminar, the Sacramento Woman of the Year. There is a ceremony coming up for that. I wanted to make sure the Board was

```
aware that, that she'll be recognized at a dinner and
 1
 2
    ceremony on Wednesday, January 31st, 2024. The event
 3
    starts at 5:30 On that day. The dinner and ceremony is at
 4
    6:30. You can buy tickets online. And if you work through
 5
    Alice Rodriquez on our staff we can make sure that any
 6
    Board Member that wants to attend that can. But again that
 7
    is Wednesday, January 31st, at the Sheraton here in
 8
    Sacramento.
9
              And that concludes my report, Mr. Chair.
10
              CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Mr. Kelly.
11
              Any questions for our CEO or comments?
12
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: I have just one, Mr.
    Chairman.
13
              CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes, Director Perea?
14
15
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Brian, it's my monthly Mr.
16
    Kim question, but certainly you can answer it. Just you
17
    know --
                           (Indiscernible.)
18
              MR. KELLY:
19
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah, we're hitting the
20
    scheduling for substantial completion in 2026 for CP1.
21
              MR. KELLY:
                          Yeah.
22
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: And critical to that is that
23
    resolution of those third-party issues that are critical to
24
    that path. Are we on schedule with those?
25
              MR. KELLY: Yeah, I mean all of the right-of-way
```

1 and utility relocation work that's in front of us, we are 2 scheduling and working to meet the ultimate construction 3 schedule. And so we're now in partnering these. I've got 4 a partnering meeting on Monday with the CP1 team. And 5 yeah, we are on schedule, 83 percent across all the CPS of the utilities are now either moved or are in progress of 6 7 being moved today. And so our schedule going forward is for us to continue to move those to meet the construction 8 9 and completion deadline. 10 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah, we're focused on it. 11 We've been talking and I know there's a ton of them that we 12 still need to do, but there's only a few of them that we 13 need to hit our 2026. And I think we're good there. 14 MR. KELLY: That's right. Dennis, is there 15 anything I missed though? 16 (Indiscernible) you did a great job. MR. KIM: 17 MR. KELLY: Was I eloquent? 18 Yes, very eloquent. But just to add MR. KIM: 19 though we had four utility relocations that were slated in 20 January for Belmont. And we achieved those at least a week 21 or several weeks early. 22 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Perfect, yeah. 23 MR. KIM: So we're, under Brian Kelly's 24 leadership we're doing a great job. 25 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Give him a raise. Give him

```
1
    a raise, Brian. (Laughter.)
 2
              MR. KELLY: I'll pay him later. Thank you,
 3
    Dennis.
              CHAIR RICHARDS: I think we're done.
 4
 5
              MR. KELLY: Yeah, I think we're definitely done.
 6
    Thank you.
 7
              CHAIR RICHARDS: All right.
                                            Thank you, Brian.
 8
              Earlier today there was a Finance and Audit
9
    Committee meeting, which Vice Chair Miller handled. And
    she'll now give you a short report on today's Finance and
10
11
    Audit Meeting.
12
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: This will be very short,
13
    because a lot of this information was already provided to
    the Board. But the Capital Outlay in November was 186
14
15
    million. And in December, we are looking at an estimate of
16
    138 million. So our construction is going smooth and
17
    accelerated.
18
              Our Contingency is still 1.3 billion remaining,
19
    which is on target. And we covered this. Utility
20
    relocation we covered and right-of-way, we're at 98 percent
21
    parcels delivered which is amazing. Thank you.
22
              CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you. Any questions for
23
    Vice Chair Miller? (No audible response.) Thank you.
24
              The final item on today's agenda is offering the
25
    Members, if they if any Member has any comments that he or
```

1 she would like to make before the close of today's meeting. 2 Director Cohen? 3 BOARD MEMBER COHEN: I just wanted to highlight 4 something that the CEO said. I just wanted to congratulate 5 Melissa on that award. It's well deserved. You do 6 important work for high-speed rail every day. And the 7 industry, the broader, broader build industry needs more 8 women. So you're just a wonderful example to people and 9 just very, very well deserved. 10 CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Director Cohen. 11 Anybody else this morning? 12 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: (Overlapping) Yeah, Mr. 13 Chairman, I just wanted --14 CHAIR RICHARDS: Director Schenk? Director 15 Schenk, okay? 16 BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Definitely. 17 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: -- to mention briefly --18 CHAIR RICHARDS: Oh, you're going. Okay. 19 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah, the city of -- my 20 mainly trip to Japan, Tokyo, Japan in late March I'll be 21 meeting with some of their government officials and some of 22 the train folks. But their main purpose is to go and start 23 looking at housing, how housing and economic development 24 works around the train stations. So our plan is to go in 25 late March for that.

1	CHAIR RICHARDS: Great. Thank you, Director
2	Perea.
3	Anybody else this morning? (indiscernible)
4	Seeing nobody who has any other comments, ladies
5	and gentlemen, thanks for joining us today here and those
6	of you across the state. We appreciate your attendance and
7	we will see you next month. This meeting is adjourned.
8	Thank you.
9	(The California High-Speed Rail Authority
10	Adjourned at 11:08 a.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4th day of February, 2024.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

Martha L. Nelson

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

February 4, 2024