

ERRATA

This errata may be revised on an ad-hoc basis, and such revisions will be posted on the Authority website, prior to the Authority's consideration of certification of the San Jose to Merced Project Section Final EIR/EIS.

California High-Speed Rail Authority

San Jose to Merced *Project Section*

**Final Environmental Impact Report/
Environmental Impact Statement**

Errata

April 2022



The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being or have been carried out by the State of California pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated July 23, 2019, and executed by the Federal Railroad Administration and the State of California.

This page intentionally left blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 1 Errata in the Final EIR/EIS

Attachment A, Table 9-4 and Appendix 9-A Meeting Log Updates

Attachment B, Response to Comment 3020

Attachment C, Errata Pages for Appendix 3.1-A: Parcels within the HSR Project Footprint

Attachment D, Errata Pages for Appendix 3.7-B: Cultural Resources – San Jose to Merced Project Section Tribal Outreach and Consultation Efforts 2009-2021

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|------|--|
| APE | area of potential effects |
| BETP | built environment treatment plan |
| CEQA | California Environmental Quality Act |
| CRHR | California Register of Historical Resources |
| DDV | Diridon design variant |
| EIR | environmental impact report |
| EIS | environmental impact statement |
| HASR | <i>San Jose to Merced Project Section Historic Architectural Survey Report</i> |
| HSR | high-speed rail |
| IAMF | impact avoidance and minimization feature |
| NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act |
| NRHP | National Register of Historic Places |
| OWJ | official with jurisdiction |
| PA | Programmatic Agreement |
| SHPO | State Historic Preservation Officer |
| TDV | tunnel design variant |
| UPRR | Union Pacific Railroad |

ERRATA SHEET

The following items are clarified and corrected (note revised text in underline and strikethrough). Clarifications and corrections requiring underline and strikethrough text are indicated with a vertical line in the margin of this errata document. The Authority has considered whether any of these clarifications/corrections require supplementation/recirculation and has determined they do not.

Italics: Italics are used in the table below to describe text in the Final EIR/EIS that is not able to be included as verbatim language; such as content within tables.

Table 1 Errata in the Final EIR/EIS

| Number | Reference | Published Final EIR/EIS Text | Clarification of or Correction to Final EIR/EIS | Reason for Clarification or Correction |
|--------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Summary Page S-34 | Page S-34: Section S.8.3.4 Under Alternative 4, approximately 196 residential units, 69 commercial or industrial businesses, 40 agricultural properties, and 1 community and public facility would be displaced. | Clarification: The following text edits were made: Under Alternative 4, approximately 68 <u>66</u> residential units, 69 <u>66 (67 with the DDV)</u> commercial or industrial businesses, 40 agricultural properties, and 1 community and public facility would be displaced. | Clarification for consistency with text in Section 3.12.6.4, Impact SOCIO#6. |
| 2 | Summary Page S-57 | Page S-57: Table S-3, Impact SOCIO#7, Alternative 4 Construction of the project would displace 66 businesses. With the DDV, there would be partial acquisition of one additional commercial parcel and displacement of one additional commercial building. | Clarification: The following text edits were made: Construction of the project would displace 66 businesses. With the DDV, there would be partial acquisition of one additional commercial parcel and displacement of one additional commercial building, <u>totaling 67 businesses</u> . | Clarification that the total number of business displacements for Alternative 4 (with the DDV) is 67, for consistency with Section 3.12.6.4, Impact SOCIO#7. |
| 3 | Summary Page S-110 | Page S-110: Table S-6 Alternative 4: 10 | Clarification: The following text edits were made: Alternative 4: 40 <u>11</u> | Clarification that the total number of Significant and Unavoidable Impacts after mitigation for Alternative 4 is 11. |
| 4 | Chapter 2 Alternatives Page 2-2 | Page 2-2 Table 2-17 was revised to reflect the correct jurisdiction for the staging area east of Lafayette Street, the location for two 1.7-acre, one 2.3-acre, and one 1.8-acre sites was corrected to Blossom Hill Road, and references to Church Avenue were corrected to Church Street. | Correction: The following text edits were made: Table 2-17 was revised to <u>remove</u> reflect the correct <u>jurisdiction</u> for the staging area east of Lafayette Street, the location for two 1.7-acre, one 2.3-acre, and one 1.8-acre sites was corrected to Blossom Hill Road, and references to Church Avenue were corrected to Church Street. | Correction to reflect the correction made in this Errata to Table 2-17. |

| Number | Reference | Published Final EIR/EIS Text | Clarification of or Correction to Final EIR/EIS | Reason for Clarification or Correction |
|--------|---|---|---|--|
| 5 | Chapter 2 Alternatives Page 2-149 | Page 2-149: Table 2-17 <i>*Table 2-17 presents two rows under San Jose Diridon Station Subsection.</i> | Correction: <i>*In Table 2-17, the row for the 8.4-acre staging area in Santa Clara east of Lafayette St under Alternative 4 has been deleted.</i> | Correction to reflect that the construction staging area east of Lafayette Street would no longer be required for Alternative 4, as reflected in the analysis of impacts to Reed and Grant Street Sports Park in Section 3.15 and Chapter 4. |
| 6 | Section 3.7 Biological Resources Page 3.7-197 | Page 3.7-179: BIO-MM#77b Monitoring will start no less than 2 years following construction (to allow time for habituation) and total initial monitoring period will not exceed 5 years following construction. | Correction: The following text edits were made: Monitoring will <u>would</u> start no less than 2 years following construction (to allow time for habituation) and total initial monitoring period will not exceed 5 years following construction. | Correction to clarify mitigation measure as discussed in response to submission SJM-2131, comment 6265 in Volume 4. |
| 7 | Section 3.7 Biological Resources Page 3.7-240 | Page 3.7-240: Impact BIO#11 BIO-MM#31 and BIO-MM#33 inadvertently left out of list of mitigation measures. | Correction: The following text edits were made: BIO-MM#13: Implement Work Stoppage BIO-MM#31: <u>Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts on California Tiger Salamander Habitat</u> BIO-MM#33: <u>Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts on California Red-Legged Frog Habitat.</u> BIO-MM#36: Conduct Pre-Construction Surveys for Special-Status Reptiles and Amphibians | Text correction. BIO-MM#31 and BIO-MM#33 were inadvertently omitted from Table 3.7-27 for Impact BIO#11. |
| 8 | Section 3.7 Biological Resources Page 3.7-242 | Page 3.7-242: Impact BIO#15 BIO-MM#16, BIO-MM#31, BIO-MM#61 inadvertently left out of list of mitigation measures. | Correction: The following text edits were made: BIO-MM#13: Implement Work Stoppage BIO-MM#16: <u>Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts on Bay Checkerspot Butterfly Habitat</u> BIO-MM#31: <u>Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts on California Tiger Salamander Habitat</u> BIO-MM#43: Conduct Pre-Construction Surveys and Delineate Active Nest Buffers for Breeding Birds BIO-MM#61: <u>Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts on San Joaquin Kit Fox Habitat.</u> | Text correction. BIO-MM#16, BIO-MM#31, and BIO-MM#61 were inadvertently omitted from Table 3.7-27 for Impact BIO#15. |

| Number | Reference | Published Final EIR/EIS Text | Clarification of or Correction to Final EIR/EIS | Reason for Clarification or Correction |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| 9 | Section 3.7 Biological Resources Page 3.7-245 | Page 3.7-245: Impact BIO#24 BIO-MM#74 inadvertently left out of list of mitigation measures. | Correction: The following text edits were made: BIO-MM#57: Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts on Tricolored Blackbird Habitat <u>BIO-MM#74: Prepare and Implement a Compensatory Mitigation Plan for Impacts on Aquatic Resources</u> | Text correction. BIO-MM#74 was inadvertently omitted from Table 3.7-27 for Impact BIO#24. |
| 10 | Section 3.7 Biological Resources Page 3.7-250 | Page 3.7-250: Impact BIO#34 BIO-MM#P1 inadvertently left out of list of mitigation measures for Impact BIO#34. | Correction: The following text edits were made: BIO-MM#58: Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts on Waterfowl, Shorebird, and Sandhill Crane Habitat <u>BIO-MM#P1: Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts on the Grasslands Ecological Area</u> | Text correction. BIO-MM#P1 was inadvertently omitted from Table 3.7-27 for Impact BIO#34. |
| 11 | Section 3.7 Biological Resources Page 3.7-254 | Page 3.7-250: Impact BIO#44 BIO-MM#P1 inadvertently left out of list of mitigation measures for Impact BIO#44. | Correction: The following text edits were made: BIO-MM#80: Minimize Permanent Intermittent Noise, Visual, and Train Strike Impacts on Wildlife Movement <u>BIO-MM#P1: Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts on the Grasslands Ecological Area</u> | Text correction. BIO-MM#P1 was inadvertently omitted from Table 3.7-27 for Impact BIO#44. |
| 12 | Section 3.7 Biological Resources Page 3.7-276 | Page 3.7-276: Impact BIO#42 BIO-MM#79a inadvertently left out of narrative for Impact BIO#42. | Clarification: The following text edits were made: BIO-MM#76b will require the Authority to facilitate wildlife movement around project construction activities, minimizing the temporary disruption of wildlife movement in the western Pacheco Pass region. <u>BIO-MM#79a will partially compensate for temporary impacts on wildlife movement by requiring the Authority to protect lands in perpetuity within the Santa Cruz to Gabilan Wildlife Linkage or Soap Lake floodplain.</u> These measures will minimize direct and indirect impacts on wildlife moving near or across the project footprint during construction. Therefore, the impact would be less than significant. | Text clarification. BIO-MM#79a was inadvertently omitted from the narrative for Impact BIO#42. |
| 13 | Section 3.7 Biological Resources Page 3.7-276 to 3.7-277 | Page 3.7-276 to 3.7-277: Impact BIO#43 BIO-MM#79b inadvertently included in narrative for Impact BIO#43. | Clarification: The following text edits were made: BIO-MM#79b will require the Authority to work with stakeholders and wildlife agencies to implement an overcrossing to facilitate movement between the Diablo Range and the Inner Coast Range. These measures are expected to minimize and compensate for direct and indirect | Text clarification. BIO-MM#79b was inadvertently included in the narrative for Impact BIO#43. |

| Number | Reference | Published Final EIR/EIS Text | Clarification of or Correction to Final EIR/EIS | Reason for Clarification or Correction |
|--------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | impacts on wildlife corridor connectivity and individuals moving near or across the rail alignment. | |
| 14 | Section 3.15 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Page 3.15-126 | Page 3.15-126: PR-MM#1 Upon approval by the Authority, the contractor will implement the activities identified in the technical memorandum. The activities will be incorporated into the design specifications and will be a pre-condition requirement. | Clarification: The following text edits were made: Upon approval by the Authority, the contractor will implement the activities identified in the technical memorandum. <u>These technical memoranda would be provided to the OWJ to demonstrate how access would be maintained.</u> The activities will be incorporated into the design specifications and will be a pre-condition requirement. | Clarification for consistency with text included throughout Section 4.6.1. |
| 15 | Section 3.15 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Page 3.15-126 | Page 3.15-126: PR-MM#2 Upon approval by the Authority, the contractor will implement the activities identified in the technical memorandum. The activities will be incorporated into the design specifications and will be a pre-condition requirement. | Clarification: The following text edits were made: Upon approval by the Authority, the contractor will implement the activities identified in the technical memorandum. <u>These technical memoranda would be provided to the OWJ to demonstrate how access would be maintained.</u> The activities will be incorporated into the design specifications and will be a pre-condition requirement. | Clarification for consistency with text included throughout Section 4.6.1. |
| 16 | Section 3.15 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Page 3.15-126 | Page 3.15-126: PR-MM#3 Upon approval by the Authority, the contractor will implement the activities identified in the technical memorandum. The activities will be incorporated into the design specifications and will be a pre-condition requirement. | Clarification: The following text edits were made: Upon approval by the Authority, the contractor will implement the activities identified in the technical memorandum. <u>These technical memoranda would be provided to the OWJ to demonstrate how access would be maintained.</u> The activities will be incorporated into the design specifications and will be a pre-condition requirement. | Clarification for consistency with text included throughout Section 4.6.1. |
| 17 | Section 3.17 Cultural Resources Page 3.17-50 | Page 3.17-50: Impact CUL#2 Text regarding the DDV was added to the incorrect location and does not apply to CA-SCL-30. | Correction: The following text edits were made: San Jose Diridon Station Approach Subsection CA-SCL-30 (P-43-000050) Alternatives 1 and 4, which would be at-grade at this resource, would incorporate about 10 feet on the north edge of the site within the permanent blended Caltrain-HSR right-of-way, and an area extending about 50 feet south of that would be included within the existing Caltrain right-of-way. The DDV (which applies to Alternative 4 only) would remove up to 7 feet and add up to 10 feet of infill to the platforms between tracks 6 and 7 and between tracks 8 and 9 in a 117-foot section on the north end of the station to accommodate | Text correction. |

| Number | Reference | Published Final EIR/EIS Text | Clarification of or Correction to Final EIR/EIS | Reason for Clarification or Correction |
|--------|--|---|---|---|
| | | | track shifts. The DDV would add up to 4 feet of infill to the platforms between tracks 6 and 7 and between tracks 8 and 9 in a 92-foot section on the south end of the station to accommodate track shifts. The DDV would also add 2 feet of infill on the west side of the platform between tracks 4 and 5. Alternatives 2 and 3, which would be built on viaduct here, would incorporate about 60 feet of the north edge of the site within the Caltrain right-of-way. Construction activities such as grading or excavation could result in damage or destruction of the site or portions of the site. | |
| 18 | Chapter 4 Section 4(f)/6(f) Evaluations Page 4-145 to 4-146 | Page 4-145 to 4-146: Section 4.6.2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If physical impacts result in a finding of adverse effects, then there is a Section 4(f) use. If the effects do not substantially impair the attributes such that the property is going to be permanently incorporated, then there is no use under Section 4(f). If physical impacts result in a finding of no effect or no adverse effect, then there is a de minimis impact. | Clarification: The following text edits were made: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the property is permanently incorporated or temporarily used physical impacts result in a finding of adverse effects, then there is a Section 4(f) use. If the project is outside of the historic property boundary but the proximity the effects do not substantially impair the activities, features, or attributes that qualify the property for protection under Section 4(f) such that the property is going to be permanently incorporated, then there is no use under a Section 4(f) constructive use. If the property is permanently incorporated or temporarily used and there is physical impacts result in a finding of no effect or no adverse effect, then the use would be there is a de minimis impact (if SHPO concurs). | Clarification to more clearly describe the standards for analyzing properties that qualify for protection under Section 4(f). |
| 19 | Chapter 5 Environmental Justice Page 5-88 | Page 5-88: Table 5-19, Alternative 4 Commercial and Industrial Businesses: 66 (68) Total Displacements: 175 (177) | Clarification: The following text edits were made: Commercial and Industrial Businesses: 66 (67 68) Total Displacements: 175 (176 177) | Clarification for consistency with Section 3.12.6.4, Impact SOCIO#7. |
| 20 | Chapter 5 Environmental Justice Page 5-89 | Page 5-89: Table 5-20, Alternative 4 Bus. San Jose Diridon Station Approach: 19 (21) San Jose: 19 (21) Environmental Justice Resource Study Area Total: 106 (108) | Clarification: The following text edits were made: San Jose Diridon Station Approach: 19 (20 21) San Jose: 19 (20 21) Environmental Justice Resource Study Area Total: 106 (107 108) | Clarification for consistency with Section 3.12.6.4, Impact SOCIO#7. |

| Number | Reference | Published Final EIR/EIS Text | Clarification of or Correction to Final EIR/EIS | Reason for Clarification or Correction |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
| 21 | Chapter 8 Preferred Alternative Page 8-13 | Page 8-13: Table 8-1, Alternative 4 Commercial displacements: 66 (68) | Clarification: The following text edits were made: Commercial displacements: 66 (67 68) | Clarification for consistency with Section 3.12.6.4, Impact SOCIO#7. |
| 22 | Chapter 9 Public and Agency Involvement Page 9-12 | Table 9-4 is missing meetings that occurred between March 2016 and March 2022. | Correction: The following text edits were made: Public and Agency Meetings Summary, March 2016- September 2024 <u>March 2022</u> <i>*Please see Attachment A for corrections.</i> | Text correction. |
| 23 | Volume 4 Chapter 24 Local Agency Comments Page 24-469 Submission 1678, Comment 2180 | Page 24-469: Submission 1678, Comment 2180 Correction to the response regarding the selection of facility locations. | Correction: The following text edits were made: The selection of one of the alternate locations will take place during Detailed Design Post ROD. The Authority has disclosed the preferred locations of these sites in Table 8-3 in Chapter 8 of the Draft EIR/EIS. | Response correction. |
| 24 | Volume 4 Chapter 27 Individual Comments Page 27-38 Submission 1652, Comment 3020 | A comparison table that was intended to be included as a response to Submission 1652, Comment 3020 was inadvertently omitted. | Clarification: <i>*Please see Attachment B for the attachment referenced in the response to Submission 1652, Comment 3020.</i> | Response clarification. |
| 25 | Appendix 3.1-A Parcels within the HSR Project Footprint Page 174 | Parcels 224-02-002, 224-02-003, 224-02-013, 224-02-014, 224-02-020, 224-02-022, and 224-02-023 were incorrectly listed as being in the <i>HSR Right-of-Way (blue)</i> . These parcels are no longer in the HSR right-of-way due to the removal of the staging area at Lafayette Street under Alternative 4. | Correction: <i>*Please see Attachment C. The following parcel ID numbers have been removed from HSR Right-of-Way: 224-02-002, 224-02-003, 224-02-013, 224-02-014, 224-02-020, 224-02-022, 224-02-023.</i> | Text correction |
| 26 | Appendix 3.1-A Parcels within the HSR Project Footprint Page 175 | Parcel 259-27-011 was inadvertently not listed. Displacement of this parcel was captured in the analysis associated with the DDV in Alternative 4 in the Final EIR/EIS but was missing from the map in this Appendix. | Correction: <i>*Please see Attachment C. Parcel ID number 259-27-011 has been added to this appendix.</i> | Text correction. |
| 27 | Appendix 3.17-B | Page 3.17-B-1: | Correction: The following text edits were made: | Text correction. |

| Number | Reference | Published Final EIR/EIS Text | Clarification of or Correction to Final EIR/EIS | Reason for Clarification or Correction |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| | Cultural Resources – San Jose to Merced Project Section Tribal Outreach and Consultation Efforts 2009-2021 Page 3.17-B-1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Text was added to reflect the addition of the Tamien Nation as a Section 106 consulting party. List of tribal governments and individuals contacted for the San Jose to Central Valley Wye Alternatives 2009 – 2018. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Text was added to reflect the addition of the Tamien Nation as a Section 106 consulting party. <u>Date was changed to 2021 and additional outreach and consultation efforts between 2018 and 2021 were added to this list.</u> List of tribal governments and individuals contacted for the San Jose to Central Valley Wye Alternatives 2009 – 2018 <u>2021</u> . | |
| 28 | Appendix 3.17-B Cultural Resources – San Jose to Merced Project Section Tribal Outreach and Consultation Efforts 2009-2021 Page 3.17-B-27 to Page 3.17-B-28 | <i>*The table presenting tribal outreach and consultation inadvertently omitted several meetings and outreach and consultation efforts between 2018 and 2021.</i> | Correction: <i>*Please see Attachment D for additional rows added to the end of the tribal outreach and consultation table.</i> | Text correction. |
| 29 | Appendix 9-A Public and Agency Meeting List | The meeting log inadvertently omitted some meetings that occurred between March 2016 and March 2022. | Correction: <i>*Please see Attachment A for corrections.</i> | Text correction. |

| Number | Reference | Published Final EIR/EIS Text | Clarification of or Correction to Final EIR/EIS | Reason for Clarification or Correction |
|--------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 30 | Chapter 6 Project Costs Page 6-4 | Page 6-4: Table 6-1 <i>*Table 6-1 included a typographical error</i> | Correction: <i>*In Table 6-1, the value for Alternative 4 in the row titled 50 Communications and signaling has been corrected to \$383.</i> | Text correction. |

ATTACHMENT A: TABLE 9-4 AND APPENDIX 9-A MEETING LOG UPDATES

Deletions from Table 9-4 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, March 2016–March 2022

| Organization/Individual | (Deletions) Number of Meetings Held | Meeting Dates |
|--|--|----------------------|
| Caltrain | 1 | 9/17/2021 |
| City of San Mateo | 1 | 9/17/2021 |
| San Francisco International Airport | 1 | 7/19/2021 |
| San Mateo County Economic Development Association | 1 | 3/9/2021 |
| Stanford University | 1 | 10/14/2020 |

| Additions to Table 9 4 Public and Agency Meetings Summary, March 2016–March 2022 | | |
|---|--|---|
| Organization/Individual | (New additions) Number of Meetings Held | Meeting Dates |
| Caltrain | 4 | 5/4/2021, 12/23/2021, 1/10/2022, 2/8/2022 |
| Center for Biological Diversity | 1 | 2/9/2022 |
| City of Gilroy | 1 | 1/26/2022 |
| City of Morgan Hill | 2 | 1/25/2022, 2/17/2022 |
| City of San Jose | 3 | 12/15/2021, 1/20/2022, 2/7/2022 |
| City of Santa Clara | 1 | 1/21/2022 |
| Congressman Jim Costa | 1 | 1/31/2022 |
| Congresswoman Zoe Lofgren | 2 | 1/5/2022, 2/14/2022 |
| CSCG | 2 | 10/20/2021, 2/16/2022 |
| CWG Meetings | 3 | 10/25/2021, 3/9/2022, 3/10/2022 |
| Diridon JPAB Presentation | 1 | 2/25/2022 |
| Gavilan College | 1 | 2/20/2022 |
| Gilroy Mayor Marie Blankley | 1 | 2/16/2022 |
| Gilroy Unified School District | 1 | 1/24/2022 |
| Los Banos Downtown Fall Street Faire | 1 | 10/2/2021 |
| LPMG | 4 | 10/28/2021, 11/18/2021, 1/27/2022, 2/24/2022 |
| MTC Staff | 1 | 1/24/2022 |
| Morgan Hill Farmers Market | 1 | 2/12/2022 |
| Morgan Hill Mayor Rich Constantine | 1 | 1/12/2022 |
| NorCal Resource Agency Coordination | 6 | 10/27/2021, 11/17/2021, 11/17/2021, 12/22/2021, 1/26/2022, 2/23/2022, |
| POST/OSA Discussion | 1 | 1/7/2022 |
| Q1 Legislative Briefing | 1 | 1/26/2022 |
| San Joaquin Valley Wildlife Stakeholders | 1 | 1/26/2022 |
| San Jose Brown Act Group | 1 | 1/24/2022 |
| San Jose Chamber of Commerce | 1 | 10/7/2021 |
| San Jose City Council | 1 | 3/1/2022 |
| San Jose City Council Member David Cohen | 1 | 12/21/2021 |
| San Jose City Council Member Dev Davis | 1 | 2/17/2022 |
| San Jose City Council Member Maya Esparza's staff | 2 | 2/14/2022, 3/3/2022 |
| San Jose City Council Member Raul Peralez | 1 | 2/23/2022 |
| San Jose City Council Member Sergio Jimenez | 1 | 2/16/2022 |
| San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo | 1 | 2/10/2022 |
| San Jose to Merced Coyote Valley Crossing Designs | 1 | 3/1/2022 |
| Santa Clara County Parks | 1 | 1/7/2022 |
| Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority | 1 | 1/31/2022 |
| Santa Clara County | 1 | 2/1/2022 |
| Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority Meeting | 1 | 3/1/2022 |
| Santa Clara Valley Wildlife Stakeholders | 1 | 1/11/2022 |
| SAP Center Meeting | 1 | 3/2/2022 |
| Senator Anna Caballero | 1 | 2/15/2022 |
| Senator Dave Cortese staff | 1 | 3/7/2022 |
| TWG | 2 | 10/20/2021, 3/3/2022 |
| Union Pacific Railroad | 1 | 2/14/2022 |
| Urban Catalyst | 1 | 12/22/2021 |
| Valley Water | 1 | 10/25/2021 |
| | 65 | |

| Deletions from Appendix 9-A: Public and Agency Meeting List | | |
|--|--|--|
| Date | Organization/Individual | Topic |
| 10/14/2020 | Stanford University | Class lecture on key decisions taken over last 30 years with California HSR, challenges, and what comes next |
| 3/9/2021 | San Mateo County Economic Development Association HLUT Committee | Revised Draft 2020 Business Plan |
| 7/19/2021 | San Francisco International Airport | Project briefing with Christopher DiPrima |
| 9/17/2021 | Caltrain and City of San Mateo | 25th Ave Grade Separation Project Ribbon Cutting Event |

| Additions to Appendix 9-A: Public and Agency Meeting List | | |
|--|---|--|
| Date | Organization/Individual | Topic |
| 10/2/2021 | Los Banos Downtown Fall Street Faire | Tabling event to inform the public regarding the identification of the State's Preferred Alternative and respond to associated questions |
| 10/7/2021 | San Jose Chamber of Commerce, CEO Briefing | New CWG member briefing, project update and project section overview |
| 10/20/2021 | CSCG | Statewide Updates (includes B to P, Federal/State Funding, Construction), Sustainability Report, NorCal updates (includes prep for final EIR/EIS, Outreach and post-ROD) |
| 10/20/2021 | TWG | Presented Statewide updates, 2021 sustainability report, NorCal updates, and partnered update with Caltrain |
| 10/25/2021 | Gilroy/Morgan Hill and San Jose (combined) CWG | Presented Statewide updates, 2021 sustainability report, NorCal updates, and partnered update with Caltrain |
| 10/25/2021 | Valley Water Meeting | Status of proposed Valley Water projects in the San Jose to Gilroy corridor |
| 10/27/2021 | NorCal Resource Agency Coordination Meeting | FJ/JM section updates, overview of agency review of JM Administrative Final EIR/EIS Chapter 5 (Environmental Justice) |
| 10/28/2021 | LPMG | Statewide Updates (includes B to P, Federal/State Funding, Construction), Sustainability Report, NorCal Updates (includes prep for final EIR/EIS, Outreach and post-ROD) |
| 11/17/2021 | NorCal Resource Agency Coordination Meeting | Overview of Cooperating and Responsible Agency Review of San Francisco-San Jose Administrative Final EIR/EIS, Overview of Agency and Community Feedback on San Jose-Merced Environmental Justice Content |
| 11/18/2021 | LPMG | Overview of the The Bipartisan Infrastructure Deal and Burbank to Los Angeles Final EIR/EIS, and announcement of the Community Working Groups Meeting. |
| 12/4/2021 | DISC | Access working group |
| 12/15/2021 | San Jose Staff Mitigations | Mitigation measures and San Jose/HSR MOU |
| 12/21/2021 | San Jose Councilmember David Cohen | Briefing with San Jose Councilmember David Cohen (D4) |
| 12/22/2021 | NorCal Resource Agency Coordination Meeting | FJ/JM section updates |
| 12/22/2021 | Urban Catalyst | Present impacts of proposed 32 Stockton & 60 Stockton, San Jose |
| 12/23/2021 | Caltrans District 4 | Wildlife Overcrossing |
| 1/5/2022 | Congresswoman Zoe Lofgren Meeting Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/7/2022 | POST/OSA Discussion | Wildlife Crossings in Coyote Valley |
| 1/7/2022 | Santa Clara County Parks | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/10/2022 | Caltrain Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/11/2022 | Santa Clara Valley Wildlife Stakeholders Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/12/2022 | Morgan Hill Mayor Rich Constantine Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/20/2022 | San Jose Staff Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/21/2022 | Santa Clara, City of, Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |

| Additions to Appendix 9-A: Public and Agency Meeting List | | |
|--|---|--|
| Date | Organization/Individual | Topic |
| 1/24/2022 | Gilroy Unified School District Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/24/2022 | Metropolitan Transportation Commission Staff Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/24/2022 | San Jose Brown Act Group Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/25/2022 | Morgan Hill Staff Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/26/2022 | California Q1 Legislative Briefing | Update on Draft Business Plan, and updates on the Final EIR/EIS process. |
| 1/26/2022 | Gilroy Staff Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/26/2022 | NorCal Resource Agency Coordination Meeting | Present summary of agency comments on the San Francisco-San Jose Administrative Final EIR/EIS |
| 1/26/2022 | San Joaquin Valley Wildlife Stakeholders Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/27/2022 | LPMG | Business Plan update, overview of the Governor Newsom's budget. Announcement of the release of the Final EIR/EIS and the Community Working Groups Meeting. |
| 1/31/2022 | Congressman Jim Costa Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 1/31/2022 | Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/1/2022 | Santa Clara County Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/7/2022 | San Jose Transportation and Environment Committee | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/8/2022 | Caltrans | Northern California Rail Working Group: Presentation |
| 2/9/2022 | Center for Biological Diversity Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/10/2022 | San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/12/2022 | Morgan Hill Farmers Market | Tabling event to inform the public regarding the identification of the State's Preferred Alternative and respond to associated questions |
| 2/14/2022 | Congresswoman Zoe Lofgren Pre-Final Briefing follow-up | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/14/2022 | San Jose Councilmember Maya Esparza (staff) Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/14/2022 | Union Pacific Railroad | Review of alignment from San Jose (CP Coast) to Gilroy |
| 2/15/2022 | California Senator Anna Caballero Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/16/2022 | CSCG | Business Plan update |
| 2/16/2022 | Gilroy Mayor Marie Blankley Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |

| Additions to Appendix 9-A: Public and Agency Meeting List | | |
|--|--|--|
| Date | Organization/Individual | Topic |
| 2/16/2022 | San Jose City Council Member Sergio Jimenez Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/17/2022 | Morgan Hill Staff Pre-Final Follow Up | Traffic Analysis |
| 2/17/2022 | San Jose City Council Member Dev Davis Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/20/2022 | Gavilan College | Workforce Development |
| 2/23/2022 | NorCal Resource Agency Coordination Meeting | Present update on Draft 2022 Business Plan and public release of the San Jose-Merced Final EIR/EIS. |
| 2/23/2022 | San Jose Council Member Raul Peralez Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 2/24/2022 | LPMG | Updates on the Business Plan, Final EIR/EIS release, and outreach activities. |
| 2/25/2022 | Diridon JPAB Presentation | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 3/1/2022 | San Jose City Council | HSR MOU |
| 3/1/2022 | San Jose to Merced Coyote Valley Crossing Designs | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 3/1/2022 | Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority Meeting | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 3/2/2022 | SAP Center Meeting | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 3/3/2022 | San Jose Councilmember Maya Esparza Quarterly Check-in | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 3/3/2022 | TWG | Overview of the Draft Business Plan, San Jose to Merced Final EIR/EIS, and Community Improvements Planning Process |
| 3/7/2022 | California Senator Dave Cortese staff Pre-Final Briefing | Presented updates made on the Final EIR/EIS from the DEIR/EIS. Informed them about California High-Speed Rail Board Meeting and ROD process. |
| 3/9/2022 | San Jose CWG | Overview of the Draft Business Plan, San Jose to Merced Final EIR/EIS |
| 3/10/2022 | Gilroy/Morgan Hill CWG | Overview of the Draft Business Plan, San Jose to Merced Final EIR/EIS |
| 3/23/2022 | NorCal Resource Agency Coordination Meeting | FJ/JM section updates |

ATTACHMENT B: RESPONSE TO COMMENT 3020

Additional Response to Submission SJM-1652, Comment 3020

General Comparison of the Environmental Effects of JM preferred alignment vs. Alternative Pacheco Pass Crossing

NOTE: Analysis area is from edge of Gilroy urban area to confluence of options at Henry Miller Road

| Subject | Unit | Preferred HSR Alignment | Alternative Option 1 | Alternative Option 2 | Relative difference | Notes |
|--|-----------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Length | Miles | 49.6 | 49.6 | 53.0 | Same with Option 1; longer with options 2 | Distance from Gilroy to Henry Miller Road. Length comparison in the comment does not have clear basis. |
| Tunnel | Miles | 13.0 | 8.0 | 8.5 | Shorter tunnel with alternative | Tunnel lengths not clear, the comment indicates a range of lengths. |
| Viaduct/Embankment | Miles | 36.6 | 41.6 | 44.5 | More embankment/viaduct with alternative | Very tall viaducts (> 200 ft) are required for several miles along comment options posing significant cost and risk increases which have not been analyzed. |
| Farmland | Miles | 19.2 | 18.4 | 19.5 | Similar amount of farmland effects | Includes areas east and west of Pacheco Pass |
| Streams crossed at surface | # | Pajaro River + 19 streams | Pajaro River + 16 streams | Pajaro River + 21 streams | Similar number of stream crossings | Would likely require additional crossings for construction road access. |
| Tunnel Geologic Conditions | Narrative | Majority of tunnel is in Franciscan Complex | Surface geologic maps indicate majority of tunnel would be in Quien Sabe Volcanics, however this may not be true at tunnel depth. | Surface geologic maps indicate majority of tunnel would be in Quien Sabe Volcanics, however this may not be true at tunnel depth. | No track record of tunnel construction in Quien Sabe Volcanics, but several tunnels have been successfully constructed in the Franciscan Complex. | Franciscan complex is challenging formation for tunnel construction, however several previous tunnels have been successfully completed in this formation including USBR's Pacheco Water Tunnel 2. |
| Seismic Hazards- Active Faults | Narrative | Crosses Ortigalita Fault | Crosses Quien Sabe and Ortigalita Faults, although Ortigalita Fault crossing may be crossed in viaduct. | Crosses Quien Sabe and Ortigalita Faults, although Ortigalita Fault crossing may be crossed in viaduct. | Activity, location and design fault displacement of the fault crossings for Alternatives Option 1 and Option 2 are unknown. | Quien Sabe fault dies out to the north and does not cross current HSR alignment. |
| Biological habitat in Pacheco Pass and San Joaquin Valley crossed at surface | Miles | 9.0 miles of alignment; 4 portals in habitat | 15.7 miles of alignment; 2 portals in habitat | 15.7 miles of alignment; 2 portals in habitat | Likely greater amount habitat disturbed with alternative due to greater length of alignment. | Habitat along Alternative route contains same T & E species along preferred HSR alignment including habitat for California tiger salamander, California red-legged frog, San Joaquin kit fox, Mountain Lion, and many other T & E species, but habitat along Alternative route is much more remote from other development and thus is less affected by adjacent development or roadways (minimally used ranch roads vs. SR 152) compared to the HSR preferred alignment. |
| Operational wildlife impact | Narrative | Less surficial crossing = less light, noise, and wildlife movement effects in aerial/embankment areas | More surficial crossing = more light, noise, and wildlife movement effects in aerial/embankment areas | More surficial crossing = more light, noise, and wildlife movement effects in aerial/embankment areas | Greater habitat disturbed with alternative due to 5 additional miles of operation at surface in Pacheco Pass habitat areas. | |
| Parks | Narrative | No surficial crossing of Cottonwood Creek Wildlife Area or. San Luis Reservoir | No parks along corridor | No parks along corridor | No difference in effect on parks. | Although the alternative alignments do not cross any park land, this does not mean there are no environmentally sensitive or protected areas along this alignment. |
| Conservation Easements | | Romero Ranch, Pacheco Creek Reserve, Pajaro River Agricultural Preserve, Soap Lake Properties (TNC) | Crossing of Halperin conservation area (CDFW) in Soap Lake | Crossing of Silicon Valley Land Conservancy conservation easements (Taylor Ranch, Carnadero Preserve, and Mission Organics Home Ranch), and Valley Water Conservation Area | Alternative options would cross less known conservation easements than HSR Preferred Alternative (see notes) | Evaluation of alternatives not comprehensive; only reviewed CCED and CPAD. Possible there are other conservation areas not included in CCED or CPAD. |
| Transportation corridor | Narrative | Parallel to SR 152 | No existing corridor | No existing corridor | New transportation corridor created with alternative | A minimum of 17 miles of heavy duty construction access roads would be required to support the alternative options. |
| Right of Way | Narrative | Extents of ROW needs are well documented, would require more State/Federal easements | ROW needs would require more property from private land owners | ROW needs would require more property from private land owners | ROW acquisition for alternative alignments has not been determined with any accuracy. | State and Federal ROW needs are well documented and likely easier to acquire than private property takes. |

Additional Response to Submission SJM-1652, Comment 3020

General Comparison of the Environmental Effects of JM preferred alignment vs. Alternative Pacheco Pass Crossing


NOTE: Analysis area is from edge of Gilroy urban area to confluence of options at Henry Miller Road

| Subject | Unit | Preferred HSR Alignment | Alternative Option 1 | Alternative Option 2 | Relative difference | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Construction access | Narrative | Some access improvements from SR 152 to portals, but short distance from SR 152 distance than alternatives | Much longer access roads to east portal (14 to 17 miles) than any of the 4 project portals; dirt ranch roads may need improvements to handle heavy construction traffic (including 11 to 13 stream crossings on access options); more access TCE required. | Much longer access roads to east portal (14 to 17 miles) than any of the 4 project portals; dirt ranch roads may need improvements to handle heavy construction traffic (including 11 to 13 stream crossings on access options); more access TCE required. | More construction access improvements/TCE acquisition, the length, location and footprint of access roads have not been determined. | Current alignment is much more accessible and the impacts of access road construction have been minimized. |
| Tunnel/GW management | Narrative | Tunnel in areas close to prior Pacheco and Santa Clara water tunnels; construction methods and impact avoidance measures expected to control groundwater effects. Max. hydraulic head approx. 550 feet. Would cross Ortigalita Fault in tunnel | Unknown subsurface groundwater conditions; Max. hydraulic head approx. 1,500 feet. Would not cross Ortigalita Fault in tunnel | Unknown subsurface groundwater conditions; Max. hydraulic head > 1,500 feet (terrain is 500 to 600' higher than Option 1), Would not cross Ortigalita Fault in tunnel. | No comparison made as subsurface groundwater conditions have not been evaluated for the options. Alternative does have advantage of not crossing the Ortigalita Fault in tunnel as the fault may produce substantial amounts of groundwater (although the potential is not well understood). | Groundwater levels along current alignment range from about 700 to 1100 ft. Unknown conditions along alternative alignments. |
| Landslides | Narrative | Landslide and stability concerns associated with Franciscan Complex, mainly at one of the portal areas for Tunnel 2, addressed through IAMFs. | Less concerns due to Quien Sabe Volcanics, but requires further analysis. | Less concerns due to Quien Sabe Volcanics, but requires further analysis. | Existing landslides and slope stability concerns along alternative alignments have not been determined. | |
| San Luis Reservoir | Narrative | Passes north of reservoir (i.e. upstream). | Passes south and downstream of reservoir. | Passes south and downstream of reservoir. | Alternative alignments are exposed to flooding hazard in the event of a San Luis Reservoir failure. | |
| Utilities | Narrative | Requires the relocation of High Voltage PG&E transmission lines and Pacheco Water Conduit. | Avoids the relocation of PG&E transmission lines and Pacheco Water Conduit. Requires extensive water and power line construction for tunnel construction and tunnel operations. | Avoids the relocation of PG&E transmission lines and Pacheco Water Conduit. Requires extensive water and power line construction for tunnel construction and tunnel operations. | Extent of water and electric power line construction required for construction and operations for the alternative options have not been studied and are unknown. | There is an opportunity to reduce or eliminate the Pacheco Water Conduit relocation identified in the preferred alternative. |
| Gilroy MOWF | Narrative | Currently designed south of Gilroy. | Could likely use current location. | Would likely require a new location and design to be defined. | Extent of impacts due to a relocated MOWF for Alternative 2 have not been studied. | |
| Soap Lake floodplain encroachment | Flooding | Crosses Soap Lake Floodplain; project will not result in flooding with IAMFs and mitigation | Crosses Soap Lake Floodplain; project will not result in flooding with IAMFs and mitigation | Crosses Soap Lake Floodplain; project will not result in flooding with IAMFs and mitigation | The alternative options would have less construction in the Soap Lake Floodplain than the Preferred HSR Alignment. | |
| Land use consistency | Narrative | Would affect isolated rural residences and Casa de Fruta, but no rural community areas. | Crosses through 1.8 miles of rural residential community east of Fairview Road; likely acquisition/displacement of dozens of residential properties. Crosses through commercial/industrial area along Bolsa Road and San Felipe Road south of Hollister Airport. | Crosses through 0.9 miles of rural residential area east of Fairview Road; likely acquisition/displacement of dozens of residential properties. | Current alignment does not impact Hollister and surrounding community. Impacts to those communities would need to be determined to detail the overall impact of the alternatives. | |

ATTACHMENT C: ERRATA PAGES FOR APPENDIX 3.1-A: PARCELS WITHIN THE HSR PROJECT FOOTPRINT



PRELIMINARY DRAFT/SUBJECT TO CHANGE - HSR ALIGNMENT IS NOT DETERMINED
 SOURCE: ESRI, 2019; Santa Clara County, 2016; San Mateo County, 2016, San Francisco County, 2016




- HSR Right-of-Way
- Temporary Construction Easement
- HSR Permanent Easement
- Parcel (APN Displayed)

Alternative 4





PRELIMINARY DRAFT/SUBJECT TO CHANGE - HSR ALIGNMENT IS NOT DETERMINED
 SOURCE: ESRI, 2019; Santa Clara County, 2016; San Mateo County, 2016, San Francisco County, 2016



- HSR Right-of-Way
- Other Right-of-Way
- Roadway Right-of-Way
- Rail Right-of-Way
- HSR Permanent Easement
- Permanent Utility Easement
- Temporary Construction Easement
- Temporary Utility Easement
- Parcel (APN Displayed)

Alternative 4 (with DDV)



**ATTACHMENT D: ERRATA PAGES FOR APPENDIX 3.17-B: CULTURAL
RESOURCES - SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION TRIBAL
OUTREACH AND CONSULTATION EFFORTS 2009–2021**

| Action | Date | Tribal Representative | Summary |
|------------------|-----------|--|---|
| Letter | 6/18/2019 | North Valley Yokuts – Katherine Erolinda Perez | Authority responded to Tribe’s post- 5/7/2019 meeting comment letter that was received on 5/10/2019. No response to this letter was received. |
| Email and Letter | 7/17/2019 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez; Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Edward Ketchum | Authority’s engineering team analyzes and formally responded to Mr. Ketchum’s proposed alternative. No response was received. |
| Email | 7/22/2019 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista - Irenne Zwierlein; Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan - Ann-Marie Sayers & Kanyon Sayers-Roods; North Valley Yokuts Tribe - Katherine E. Perez; Ohlone Indian Tribe - Andrew Galvan | Invitation to FJ and JM Community Open Houses. Meeting to be held in Gilroy was rescheduled. |
| Email | 8/1/2019 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista – Irenne Zwierlein; Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan – Ann-Marie Sayers & Kanyon Sayers-Roods; North Valley Yokuts Tribe – Katherine E. Perez; Ohlone Indian Tribe – Andrew Galvan | Notification to Tribes of Authority’s participation in FRA’s NEPA Assignment Program. On July 23, 2019 Governor Newsom signed and made effective the final MOU. Response received from Fernandefio Tataviam (8/5/2019). |
| Email | 8/2/2019 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez; Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista - Irenne Zwierlein; Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan - Ann-Marie Sayers & Kanyon Sayers-Roods; North Valley Yokuts Tribe - Katherine E. Perez | Final JM ASR transmitted to tribal consulting parties. |
| Meeting | 8/22/2019 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Edward Ketchum | Mr. Ketchum attended meeting and offered verbal comments expressing concern about HSR being constructed on Sacred Property |
| Email | 2/20/2020 | North Valley Yokuts – Katherine Erolinda Perez | Ms. Perez asked a question about HR 5805, proposed legislation “HSR Corridor Development Act of 2020”, HSR responds on 2/21/2020 that this legislation is proposed and no new funding for FJ or JM has been received. |
| Email | 2/27/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez; Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista - Irenne Zwierlein; Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan - Ann-Marie Sayers & Kanyon Sayers-Roods; North Valley Yokuts Tribe - Katherine E. Perez | JM FOE to SHPO and consulting parties for review and comment. Kathy Perez, NVYT, commented on 3/24/2020. No other comments were received. SHPO concurrence 3/27/2020. |

| Action | Date | Tribal Representative | Summary |
|-------------------|-----------|---|--|
| Letter; email | 3/24/2020 | North Valley Yokuts – Katherine Erolinda Perez | Ms. Perez submits comment letter in response to receiving the JM FOE. Authority responds to comments on 4/1/2020. |
| Letter; email | 3/27/2020 | SHPO | SHPO concurs on findings in the JM FOE (Feb 2020). |
| Letter; email | 4/1/2020 | North Valley Yokuts – Katherine Erolinda Perez | Authority responds to Ms. Perez's comment letter dated 3/20/2020 received in response to the JM FOE. |
| Email | 4/18/2020 | Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan - Kanyon Sayers-Roods | Ms. Sayers-Roods responds to draft JM FOE, stating that tribe has concerns about project. Authority responded 4/20/2020 stating tribe will be informed as project moves forward. |
| Email | 5/22/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez; Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista - Irenne Zwierein; Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan - Ann-Marie Sayers & Kanyon Sayers-Roods; North Valley Yokuts Tribe - Katherine E. Perez | Notification of extended comment period to close on 6/23/2020 and invitation to JM EIR/EIS virtual Public Open House to be held on 5/27/2020. |
| Phone call | 6/23/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Mr. Lopez called to discuss process for submitting comments on the JM Draft EIR/EIS. |
| Email | 6/24/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Mr. Rushing acknowledges receipt of the corrected final comment letter. |
| Phone call | 7/21/2020 | Wuksache Indian Tribe/Eshom Valley Band - Kenneth Woodrow | Mr. Woodrow inquired regarding monitoring in Northern California - specifically San Francisco to San Jose. |
| Email | 8/6/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Mr. Lopez requests meeting with HSR and proposes dates. Ms. MacKinnon responds same day and leaves voicemail. |
| Email; Phone call | 8/12/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Ms. MacKinnon left voicemail and additional follow-up email re Mr. Lopez's request for a meeting. |
| Phone call | 8/24/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Mr. Lopez calls Ms. MacKinnon to request information regarding the JM preferred alternative. Ms. MacKinnon follows-up with email. |
| Email | 9/9/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Ms. MacKinnon provides information regarding the selection process for the JM preferred alternative and suggests some possible meeting dates and times. |

| Action | Date | Tribal Representative | Summary |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---|--|
| Email | 9/13/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Mr. Lopez requests meeting and requests specific agenda items. Authority responded 9/14/2020 with some suggested dates and times. Additional meeting coordination 9/19 & 9/21. Meeting set for 9/29/2020. |
| Meeting | 9/29/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez, Edward Ketchum, Lisa Carrier, & Rob Cuthrell, Ph.D. | Meeting held per tribe's request to discuss AMTB's comment letter on the draft JM EIR/EIS, future JM MOA, and alignment alternatives. See also 10/6/2020 for meeting minutes and re-sending of 7/17/2019 email. |
| Email | 10/6/2020 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez, Edward Ketchum, Lisa Carrier, & Rob Cuthrell, Ph.D. | Per Tribe's request during meeting on 9/29/2020, Authority re-sent email and letter originally sent 7/17/2019. In a separate email, Authority sends draft Meeting Minutes for Tribe's review along with the 2011 PA. No response was received. |
| Letter; Email | 3/25/2021 | Tamien Nation of the Greater Santa Clara County – Quirina Luna Geary | Tribe formally requests notification of the agency's proposed projects, in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1. Authority formally responds on 3/25/2021. |
| Meeting | 3/29/2021 | Tamien Nation of the Greater Santa Clara County - Quirina Luna Geary | Telemeeting with Tamien Nation; discussed HSR project, FJ and JM project schedules. Tribe requests to consult. |
| Letter and Participation Form | 3/29/2021 | Tamien Nation of the Greater Santa Clara County – Quirina Luna Geary | Section 106 consulting party participation form signed by Quirina Luna Geary as the Chairperson of the Tamien Nation. |
| Email | 4/27/2021 | Tamien Nation of the Greater Santa Clara County – Quirina Luna Geary | Notification to Tamien Nation regarding the JM RDEIR-SDEIS per our earlier phone conversation. |
| Email | 6/2/2021 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez, Lisa Carrier, Rob Cuthrell, Ph.D.; Edward Ketchum; Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista - Irenne Zwierlein; North Valley Yokuts Tribe - Katherine E. Perez; Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan - Ann-Marie Sayers & Kanyon Sayers-Roods; Tamien Nation of the Greater Santa Clara County - Quirina Luna Geary & Johnathan Costillas | Draft JM MOA and ATP to tribal consulting parties for review and comment (re-sent to Indian Canyon on 6/3/2021). |
| Email | 6/9/2021 | Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan - Kanyon Sayers-Roods and Ann-Marie Sayers | Comments on the JM MOA/ATP received from Ms. Sayers-Roods, Authority responded same day (6/9/2021). |

| Action | Date | Tribal Representative | Summary |
|---------------|------------|---|---|
| Email | 6/12/2021 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Mr. Lopez submits comments on the JM MOA to SHPO and cc's Authority. |
| Email | 6/18/2021 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista - Irenne Zwierlein; North Valley Yokuts Tribe - Katherine E. Perez; Tamien Nation of the Greater Santa Clara County - Quirina Luna Geary | Reminder for comments on the draft JM MOA and ATP. |
| Email | 7/1/2021 | Tamien Nation of the Greater Santa Clara County - Quirina Luna Geary | Tamien Nation comments on the JM MOA and ATP. |
| Email | 7/6/2021 | Tamien Nation of the Greater Santa Clara County - Quirina Luna Geary | Authority responds to Tamien Nation's comments on the draft JM MOA/ATP. |
| Email | 7/29/2021 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Reminder to send comments to the Authority regarding the JM MOA. |
| Email | 8/6/2021 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Mr. Lopez intends to comment on JM MOA, comment period extended. |
| Email | 8/9/2021 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Mr. Lopez comments on the JM MOA. |
| Email | 8/27/2021 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Authority submits formal response regarding AMTB's comments on the draft JM MOA. |
| Email | 8/27/2021 | SHPO | Authority submits final draft JM MOA to SHPO. |
| Email | 9/1/2021 | Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan - Kanyon Sayers-Roods | In response to receiving the final draft JM MOA on 8/27/2021, Indian Canyon inquires if tribal monitor designation forms need to be updated. Forms sent. No additional response received. |
| Email; Letter | 10/25/2021 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Mr. Lopez sends a letter regarding the JM MOA and ATP. |
| Email | 10/29/2021 | Amah Mutsun Tribal Band - Valentin Lopez | Authority responded to Mr. Lopez's letter. |